CITY OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA

## AGENDA <br> City Council Meeting and Budget Hearing FY 19

Thursday, September 6, 2018-6:00 p.m.
City Hall Council Chambers
5800 Melaleuca Lane

## Mayor and City Council

Joel Flores, Mayor
Paula Bousquet, Deputy Mayor

John Tharp, Council Member
Peter A. Noble, Council Member
Judith Dugo, Council Member
Jonathan G. Pearce, Council Member

District I
District II
District III
District IV

# Administration <br> Andrea McCue, City Manager <br> Glen J. Torcivia, City Attorney <br> Joanna Cunningham, City Clerk 

Americans with Disabilities Act: In accordance with the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), this document can be made available in an alternate format (large print) upon request. Special accommodations can be provided upon request with three (3) days advance notice of any meeting, by contacting City Clerk Joanna Cunningham at Greenacres City Hall, 5800 Melaleuca Lane, Greenacres, Florida. Phone No. 561-642-2006. Hearing Assistance: If any person wishes to use a Listen Aid Hearing Device, please contact the City Clerk prior to any meeting held in the Council Chambers.
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## Agenda

## City Council Meeting and Budget Hearing FY19

City Hall Council Chambers
5800 Melaleuca Lane
Thursday, September 6, 2018-6:00 p.m.

Notice: Any person requesting the appeal of a decision of the City Council will require a verbatim record of the proceedings and for that purpose will need to ensure that such verbatim record is made. Pursuant to F.S. 286.0105, the record must include the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. The City of Greenacres does not prepare or provide such verbatim record.

## 1. Call To Order and Roll Call.

2. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.
3. Comments From the Public for Agenda Items Only.
4. Agenda Approval.
A. Additions, deletions, or substitutions to the Agenda.
B. Motion to approve and adopt entire agenda as set.

## 5. First Hearing - FY 2019 Proposed Budget.

A. Ordinances:

1. PUBLIC HEARING:Ordinance No. 2018-18: First Reading; establishing a taxable valuation and levying an ad valorem tax on property located within the Corporate Limits of Greenacres, Florida, for the year ending December 31, 2018; providing for repeal of conflicting ordinances; providing for severability; and providing for an effective date; and pursuant to Staff Memo.- James McInnis, Finance Director.
2. PUBLIC HEARING:Ordinance No. 2018-19: First Reading; adopting an operating budget for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2018 and ending September 30, 2019; providing for repeal of conflicting ordinances; providing for severability; and providing for an effective date; and pursuant to Staff Memo - James McInnis, Finance Director.
3. Special Business - None.
4. Consent Agenda.
A. Motion to Approve Consent Agenda.
5. $\quad$ Official Minutes: City Council Meeting August 6, 2018 - Joanna Cunningham, City Clerk.
6. Resolution No. 2018-35: Authorizing the submittal of the FY2018 Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program application to the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance and authorizing the City Manager to sign all grant documents and accept award of the grant, and authorizing the City Manager with signature authority responsible for implementing the grant for the procurement of law enforcement equipment and technology to be used by law enforcement; and providing for an effective date; pursuant to Staff Memo.-Teri Beiriger, Budget Analyst/Grant Coordinator.

## 8. Regular Agenda.

A. PUBLIC HEARING Ordinance No. 2018-12 -CPA-17-03: Second Reading; Amending the Capital Improvement Element of the City's Comprehensive Plan to reflect recent changes, as requested by the Planning and Engineering Department; providing for repeal of conflicting ordinances; providing for severability; providing for transmittal to the Division of Community Development; providing for inclusion in the Comprehensive Plan; and providing for an effective date; pursuant to Staff Memo and Staff Report. - Osniel Leon, Senior Planner.
B. PUBLIC HEARING Resolution No. 2018-27: Relating to the provision of residential solid waste collection services in the City of Greenacres, Florida; providing for purpose and definitions; providing for legislative determinations; approving the assessment rate for residential solid waste collection services for the fiscal year beginning on October 1, 2018; imposing a residential solid waste collection services assessment against assessed property located within the City of Greenacres for the fiscal year beginning on October 1, 2018; providing for severability; providing for conflicts; and providing an effective date; pursuant to Staff Memo.- James McInnis, Finance Director.

## 9. Comments from the Public.

10. Discussion Items: None.
11. Staff Comments.
A. City Manager's Report.
B. City Attorney's Report.

## 12. Mayor and City Council Reports.

13. Adjournment.

The first and third Monday of each month are regular meeting dates for the City Council; special or workshop meetings may be called on the second and fourth Mondays of the month, or whenever necessary. Council Agendas are posted on the City's website on the Friday prior to each Council meeting. A public copy of the complete agenda is also available for review in the City Clerk's Office at City Hall. Questions regarding the agenda should be directed to the City Clerk at 642-2006.

September 2018 - Calendar of Meetings and Events

| $09-19-18$ | Planning Commission Meeting | $7: 00$ p.m. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $09-20-18$ | City Council \& FY 2018 Budget Hearing | $6: 00$ p.m. |
| $09-25-18$ | Zoning Board of Adjustments and Appeals | Tentative |
| $09-28-18$ | Food Truck Invasion | $5: 00$ p.m. |

October 2018 - Calendar of Meetings and Events

| $10-1-18$ | City Council | 6:00 p.m. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10-3-18$ | Planning Commission Meeting | $7: 00$ p.m. |
| $10-15-18$ | City Council/Student Mock Council | $5: 30$ p.m. |
| $10-17-18$ | Planning Commission Meeting | $7: 00$ p.m. |
| $10-24-18$ | Code Enforcement Hearings | $3: 00$ p.m. |
| $10-26-18$ | Food Truck Invasion | $5: 00$ p.m. |
| $10-30-18$ | Zamuel J. Ferreri Community Park | Tentative |

## City of Greenacres

## Council Agenda Memo

2017.09RW2.01

TO: Mayor and City Council<br>THROUGH: Andrea McCue, City Manager<br>FROM: James McInnis, Director of Finance<br>SUBJECT: TRUTH IN MILLAGE (TRIM) / FY 2018 BUDGET-FIRST PUBLIC HEARING (ORDINANCES 2018-18 AND 2018-19)<br>DATE: $\quad$ September 6, 2018<br>COPIES: Glen Torcivia, City Attorney<br>Joanna Cunningham, City Clerk

## BACKGROUND:

Florida Statutes Section 200.065 specifies the procedural steps that must be followed by each unit of local government in the preparation and approval of the government entity's property tax millage, levy, and annual budget. In accordance with these regulations, the certified taxable property values provided by the Palm Beach County Property Appraiser on June 28, 2018 will be used to determine the ad valorem tax proceeds in the calculation of the Fiscal Year (FY) 2018-2019 budget.

Taxing authorities must hold two public hearings to adopt a millage rate and budget. This is the First Public Hearing of the City of Greenacres to adopt a tentative millage rate and budget for fiscal year 2018-19.

The tentative millage rate and the budget must be adopted by separate votes. Pursuant to direction provided by the City Council at the budget workshop on July 30, 2018, staff has prepared the two ordinances required by the Truth in Millage (TRIM) process for approval by the City Council. The ordinance to establish the taxable value and set the ad valorem rate (Ordinance 2018-18) must be passed first, followed by the ordinance to adopt the budget (Ordinance 2018-19).

## ANALYSIS:

The first ordinance, 2018-18, establishes the taxable value and sets the ad valorem rate.
The certified total taxable value of $\$ 1,838,261,979$ provided by the Property Appraiser's office is $\$ 157,684,947$ more than last year's certified taxable value of $\$ 1,680,577,032$. The
$9.4 \%$ increase is due to an increase in property values coupled with new construction. Pursuant to F.S. 200.065, the calculated rolled-back millage rate (ad valorem rate that generates the same amount of property tax revenue on existing properties as last year) is 5.6718 mills.

The tentative property tax rate of 6.4000 mills set by ordinance 2018-18 would generate $\$ 11,764,877$ of ad valorem revenue, or $\$ 1,929,669$ more than FY 2018. The millage rate of 6.4000 represents an increase of $5.2 \%$ from the FY2018 millage rate of 6.0854 .

The second ordinance, 2018-19, adopts an operating budget for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2018 and ending September 30, 2019. The proposed budget increases expenditures from $\$ 32.8$ million in FY 2018 to $\$ 36.5$ million in FY 2019. More than half of the increase (55\%) was attributable to capital projects as the proposed spending on capital projects increased from \$4.8 million in FY 2018 to $\$ 6.5$ million in FY 2019.

## FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

The proposed ordinances set the total millage at 6.4000 mills and appropriate $\$ 36,493,430$ for the operation of the City in FY 2019.

## LEGAL:

The first budget hearing was advertised in the preliminary tax assessment notices as required in F.S. 200.065. The second hearing, to set the millage rate and adopt the FY 2019 budget, is scheduled for September 20, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. at the Greenacres City Hall and will be advertised in a newspaper that has local circulation in the City.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of Ordinances 2018-18 and 2018-19 on first reading.

James McInnis<br>Director of Finance

JM/dm
Attachments:

1. Ordinance 2018-18
2. Ordinance 2018-19


## City of Greenacres <br> Budget Workshop

September 6, 2018

GENERAL FUND
REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE SUMM ARY

| CATEGORY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 2018 \\ & \text { BUDGET } \end{aligned}$ | FY 2019 PROPOSED | CHANGEFROM PRIOR YR |  | \% CHANGE | \% OF TOTAL <br> BUDGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ad Valorem Taxes Millage Rate FY 20196.4 | \$ 9,835,208 | \$ 11,294, 282 | \$ | 1,459,074 | 14.8\% | 38.9\% |
| Utility Service Taxes | 3,009,000 | 3,284,148 |  | 275,148 | 9.1\% | 11.3\% |
| Other Taxes | 2,212,969 | 2,281,969 |  | 69,000 | 3.1\% | 7.9\% |
| Permits and Fees | 2,432,820 | 2,520,879 |  | 88,059 | 3.6\% | 8.7\% |
| Intergovernmental | 4,887,240 | 5,278,320 |  | 391,080 | 8.0\% | 18.2\% |
| Charges for Services | 3,583,152 | 3,529,530 |  | $(53,622)$ | -1.5\% | 12.2\% |
| Fines \& Forfeitures | 90,900 | 101,400 |  | 10,500 | 11.6\% | 0.3\% |
| Interest Income | 97,951 | 242,996 |  | 145,045 | 148.1\% | 0.8\% |
| Rent and Royalties | 376,596 | 366,010 |  | $(10,586)$ | -2.8\% | 1.3\% |
| Miscellaneous Income | 132,050 | 133,551 |  | 1,501 | 1.1\% | 0.5\% |
| TOTAL REVENUE | \$ 26,657,886 | \$29,033,085 | \$ | 2,375,199 | 8.9\% | 100\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel Services | \$ 12,736,919 | \$ 13,422,677 | \$ | 685,758 | 5.4\% | 46.5\% |
| Operating | 12,169,496 | 12,683,674 |  | 514,178 | 4.2\% | 43.9\% |
| Capital Outlay | 35,450 | 9,000 |  | $(26,450)$ | -74.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Grants and Aids | 7,500 | 7,500 |  | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Solid Waste Collection | 1,236,000 | 1,288,564 |  | 52,564 | 4.3\% | 4.5\% |
| Insurance | 333,924 | 366,611 |  | 32,687 | 9.8\% | 1.3\% |
| Interfund Transfers | 20,000 | 910,000 |  | 890,000 | 4450.0\% | 3.2\% |
| Contingency | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Other Obligations | 90,308 | 90,308 |  | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE | \$ 26,729,597 | \$ 28,878,334 | \$ | 2,148,737 | 8.0\% | 100\% |

Excess Revenue Over (under) $\quad(71,711) \quad 154,751$
Expenditures

ORDINANCE NO. 2018-18


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA, ESTABLISHING A TAXABLE VALUATION AND LEVYING AN AD VALOREM TAX ON PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA, AS OF THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2018; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING ORDINANCES; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, in accordance with Chapter 200.065 F.S., the Palm Beach County Property Appraiser has certified the tax roll for the City of Greenacres for the purpose of computing an ad valorem tax rate for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2018 and ending September 30, 2019; and

WHEREAS, in the preparation of the operating budget for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2018 and ending September 30, 2019, the City of Greenacres Council has utilized the certified taxable valuation in computing the ad valorem tax rate necessary to fund the operating budget.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. For the purpose of setting an ad valorem tax rate for the operating budget, the effective taxable value for all real and personal property for the year 2018 was certified on July 1, 2018 to be $\$ 1,838,261,979$. The 2018 certified value was higher by $\$ 157,684,947$ (9.38\%) than the 2017 final certified value of $\$ 1,680,577,032$.

Section 2. For the purpose of funding the operating budget for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2018 and ending September 30, 2019, the effective taxable value as listed above will be and is hereby taxed at the total rate of 6.400 mills.

Section 3. The millage rate of 6.400 mills applicable to the General Fund Levy, for Fiscal Year 2018 is $12.8 \%$ greater than the calculated rolled-back millage rate pursuant
to Section $200.065(1)$ F.S. of 5.6718 mills that generates the same amount of property tax revenue as last year on existing real and personal property.

Section 4. Repeal of Conflicting Ordinances.
All ordinances or parts thereof or parts of the Code conflicting or inconsistent with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

## Section 5. Severability.

If any section, part of a section, paragraph, sentence, clause, phrase or word of this Ordinance is for any reason held or declared to be unconstitutional, inoperative or void, such holdings of invalidity shall not affect the remaining portion of this Ordinance and it shall be construed to have been the legislative intent to pass the Ordinance without such unconstitutional, invalid or inoperative part therein, and the remainder of this Ordinance after the exclusion of such part or parts shall be deemed to be held valid as if such part or parts had not been included therein, or if this Ordinance or any of the provisions thereof shall be held inapplicable to any person, group of persons, property, kind of property, circumstances, or set of circumstances, such holdings shall not affect the applicability thereof to any other person, property or circumstances.

SECTION 6. Effective Date.
The provisions of this Ordinance shall become effective October 1, 2018 in accordance with the laws of the State of Florida.

Passed on the first reading this $6^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2018.
PASSED AND ADOPTED on the second reading this $20^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2018.

> Voted

## Joel Flores

Mayor
Attest:

Joanna Cunningham
City Clerk


Paula Bousquet
Deputy Mayor


John Tharp
Council Member, District I
Peter Noble
Council Member, District II


Jonathan G. Pearce
Council Member, District IV

Approved as to Form and Legal Sufficiency:

# AN ORDINANCE ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA, ADOPTING AN OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 2018 AND ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2019; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING ORDINANCES; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE. 

WHEREAS, the City Manager, in accordance with provisions of State Law and the City Charter, has submitted a proposed budget to the City Council for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2018 and ending September 30, 2019; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has considered the recommendations of the City Manager and has made revisions thereto; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has complied with Chapter 200.065 F.S., in the setting of a proposed millage rate and the computation of a roll-back rate; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has scheduled and advertised public hearings on the proposed operating budget in accordance with Chapter 200.065, Florida Statutes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. For the purpose of fixing the amount of appropriations for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2018, and ending September 30, 2019, it is estimated that revenues will be available to meet budgeted appropriations according to the following sources:

## REVENUES

GENERAL FUND
$\qquad$
Ad Valorem Taxes 3,284,148
Utility Taxes 2,281,969
Other Taxes
2,520,879
Permits \& Fees
Intergovernmental 5,278,320
Charges for Services
3,529,530
Fines \& Forfeitures 101,400
Interest Income 242,996
Rents \& Royalties
Miscellaneous ..... 133,551
Budgeted Fund Balance Surplus ..... $(154,751)$
Total Revenues - General Fund ..... \$ 28,878,334
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
Forfeitures Fund
Florida State Statute 932.7055 Prohibits Budgeting Anticipated Revenue ..... N/A Use of Fund Balance \$ ..... 115,782
Sub-Total Forfeitures Fund ..... \$ ..... 115,782
Arboreous Fund
Revenue - Contributions, Interest Earned, Impact Fees ..... \$ ..... 3,673
Use of Fund Balance ..... 3,327
Sub-Total Arboreous Fund ..... \$ 7,000
Fire Rescue Donations and Contributions Fund
Revenue - Contributions \& Interest Earned ..... \$ ..... 210
Use of Fund Balance ..... 1,299
Sub-Total Public Safety Donation and Contributions Fund ..... \$ ..... 1,509
Youth Programs Fund
Revenue - Intergovernmental Grants, Fees, Contributions ..... \$ 650,914 Budgeted Fund Balance Surplus ..... $(10,521)$
Sub-Total Youth Programs Fund ..... \$ 640,393
Total Revenues - Special Revenue Funds ..... \$ 764,684
DEBT SERVICE FUND
Public Facility Improvement Notes
Interest Earned ..... \$ ..... 7,909
Interfund Transfer ..... 410,000
Budgeted Fund Balance Surplus ..... $(14,649)$
Total Revenues - Debt Service Fund ..... \$ 403,260
CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS\$293,136
Revenue Parks and Recreation ..... 5,425
Revenue Reconstruction \& Maintenance ..... 995,801
Revenue Infrastructure Surtax ..... 4,505,569
Use of Fund Balance ..... 647,221
Total Revenues - Capital Projects Funds ..... \$ 6,447,152
Total Revenues Available ..... $\$ 36,493,430$

Section 2. For the purpose of financing the operations of the City of Greenacres, Florida, for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2018 and ending September 30, 2019, there is hereby appropriated from taxes and other revenues and sources received by the City of Greenacres, the following amounts:

## APPROPRIATIONS

## GENERAL FUND

Administration ..... \$ 1,305,419
Finance ..... 1,584,240
Planning and Engineering ..... 604,716
Public Works ..... 3,085,512
Public Safety ..... 7,171,841
Leisure Services ..... 934,726
Building ..... 1,079,597
Non-Departmental ..... 12,102,283
Interfund Transfers ..... 910,000
Contingency ..... 100,000
Total General Fund Appropriations ..... \$ 28,878,334
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
Forfeitures Fund ..... \$ 115,782
Arboreous Fund ..... 7,000
Public Safety Donation \& Contribution Fund ..... 1,509
Youth Programs Fund ..... 640,393
Total Special Revenue Appropriations ..... 764,684

## DEBT SERVICE

## Public Facility Imp. Notes

Principal ..... \$ ..... 320,560
Interest \$ ..... 82,700
Total Debt Service Fund Appropriations ..... \$ ..... 403,260
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS
New Growth ..... \$ 440,000
Parks and Recreation ..... 501,000
Reconstruction \& Maintenance ..... 1,597,498
Infrastructure Surtax ..... 3,908,654
Interfund Transfers0
Total Capital Funds Appropriations ..... \$ 6,447,152
Total Appropriations $\$ 36,493,430$

## Section 3. Repeal of Conflicting Ordinances

All ordinances or parts thereof or parts of the Code conflicting or inconsistent with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

## Section 4. Severability

If any section, part of a section, paragraph, sentence, clause, phrase or word of this Ordinance is for any reason held or declared to be unconstitutional, inoperative or void, such holdings of invalidity shall not affect the remaining portion of this Ordinance and it shall be construed to have been the legislative intent to pass the Ordinance without such unconstitutional, invalid or inoperative part therein, and the remainder of this Ordinance after the exclusion of such part or parts shall be deemed to be held valid as if such part or parts had not been included therein, or if this Ordinance or any of the provisions thereof shall be held inapplicable to any person, group of persons, property, kind of property, circumstances, or set of circumstances, such holdings shall not affect the applicability thereof to any other person, property or circumstances.

## Section 5. Effective Date

The provisions of this Ordinance shall become effective October 1, 2018 in accordance with the laws of the State of Florida.

Passed on the first reading this $6^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2018.
PASSED AND ADOPTED on the second reading this $20^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2018.
Voted

## Joel Flores

Mayor
Attest:

Joanna Cunningham
City Clerk


Paula Bousquet
Deputy Mayor


John Tharp
Council Member, District I
Peter Noble
Council Member, District II



Jonathan G. Pearce
Council Member, District IV

Approved as to Form and Legal Sufficiency:

Glen J. Torcivia
City Attorney

OFFICIAL MINUTES
CITY OF GREENACRES
5800 Melaleuca Lane
Greenacres, FL 33463
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
Monday, August 6, 2018 - 7:00 P.M.

## 1. Call To Order and Roll Call.

Mayor Flores called the City Council Meeting of Monday, August 6, 2018 to order at 7:00 p.m.
City Clerk Joanna Cunningham called the roll.

## ROLL CALL:

Council Present:
Joel Flores, Mayor
Paula Bousquet, Deputy Mayor
John Tharp, Councilman
Peter A. Noble, Councilman
Judith Dugo, Councilwoman
Jonathan G. Pearce, Councilman
Attendees from Public: 34
Press: 1

Staff Present:
Andrea McCue, City Manager
Glen J. Torcivia, City Attorney
Joanna Cunningham, City Clerk/PIO
Michael Grimm, Director/Building
Jim McInnis, Director/Finance
Mark Pure, Fire Chief/Fire Rescue Department Michele Thompson, Director/Leisure Services
Brian Fuller, Asst. Chief/Fire Rescue
Ming Meyer, Asst. Director/Finance Osniel Leon, Senior Planner/Planning \& Engineering
Melody Larson, Assistant City Clerk

## 2. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

Mayor Joel Flores called for a moment of silence for the passing of former Mayor Sloan Campbell and the Executive Director of Helping Hands Tim Motlow, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.
3. Comments From the Public for Agenda Items Only.

Mayor Flores asked if there were comments from the public; hearing none, he continued with the Agenda.

## 4. Agenda Approval.

A. Additions, deletions, or substitutions to the Agenda.
B. Motion to approve and adopt entire agenda as set.

Mayor Flores inquired if there were any additions, deletions or substitutions to the Agenda; hearing none, he called for a motion.

MOTION: Councilwoman Dugo made a motion to approve the Agenda. Councilman Tharp seconded the motion.

| VOTE ON | In Favor: Deputy Mayor Bousquet, Councilman Tharp, |
| :--- | :--- |
| THE MOTION: | Councilman Noble, Councilwoman Dugo, and Councilman <br> Pearce. |

## Motion carried: 5-0.

## 5. Special Business.

A. Presentation: Youth Programs Video Presentation - Joel Flores, Mayor.

William King, Executive Director of You Speak Out International, announced that 45 middle and high school students from Greenacres participated in an 8-week summer program focused on today's technology and communications. Students learned cultural competency, media literacy and how to self-regulate the time spent on global communications. Three videos created by the students were shown. Video actors Natalie, Valerie and Mario talked about their experiences and the importance of communicating with family and friends. Mayor Flores thanked them for a great job and pushing the message forward.
B. Little Free Library Contest Winner: Announcement of PBSO vs. Fire Rescue "Build a Library" Competition - Joel Flores, Mayor.

Mayor Flores announced the results of the competition between Fire Rescue's and PBSO's Little Free Libraries held at the Samuel J. Ferreri Community Park unveiled at the July $4^{\text {th }}$, "Ignite the Night" celebration and voting continued at the Community Center through Friday. PBSO received 332 votes and Fire Rescue received 302 votes. Photos were taken.
C. Presentation: Little Free Library Dedication for Pocket Parks- Joel Flores, Mayor.

Leisure Services Director Michele Thompson called on the senior cadets of Girl Scouts Troop 21127 to talk about their efforts to earn a Silver Award by building LFLs with the goal of connecting to their community and leaving a lasting impression. Their project focused on bridging the gap between English and Spanish speakers. The name of their project is Linga Lingo and provides over 200 bi-lingual books and 300 books in English. The scouts thanked Carol Glasser and Michele Thompson for their help in completing their project. A Spanish translation of their project was provided.
D. Presentation: Distinguished Little Free Library Steward Leadership Award to Carol Glasser from Mary Lindsey, Little Free Library. - Joel Flores, Mayor.

Mary Lindsey of the Lake Worth Little Free Library (LFL) Chapter gave a brief history of the grass-roots, community-driven initiative which has grown to an international project with over 75,000 LFL's worldwide in 80 countries. She thanked the Mayor and Council for their spectacular leadership and presented Carol Glasser with the Distinguished Steward Leadership.
E. Presentation: Certificate of Award to PBC School District Chairman Chuck Shaw - Joel Flores, Mayor.

Mayor Flores and Councilmembers recognized PBC School District Chairman Chuck Shaw, for his service as a long-time former councilmember, a Scholarship Committee member and a Charter Review Committee member.

Chuck Shaw noted the importance of public service and that it is the people who give of their time and resources that makes a successful community. Greenacres is his home.

## 6. Consent Agenda.

A. Mayor Flores asked Council if they wished to pull any of the five (5) Consent Agenda items. Councilman Pearce wanted to table Consent Agenda Item 6.A. 2 (City Council minutes of July 2, 2018). He questioned the ability of the Mayor to vote on the selection of Redevelopment Management Associates, LLC.

MOTION: Councilman Pearce made a motion to table Consent Agenda Item 6.A. 2 (City Council minutes of July 2, 2018) to the August 20, 2018 City Council meeting. No one seconded the motion.

## Discussion on the Motion:

Councilman Pearce wanted clarification over the Mayor being part of the Selection Committee and voting rights. He wanted assurance that the selection process was done correctly since the contract is substantial.

Councilwoman Dugo pointed out that the minutes cannot be altered and emphasized that the official record cannot be changed.

Councilman Pearce agreed and pointed out that he simply wanted to revisit the presentations.

Councilman Tharp stated that Council is only approving the minutes of what transpired at the meeting.

Deputy Mayor Bousquet pointed out that Council voted to serve as the Selection Committee, separate from the City Council.

Councilman Pearce reiterated that the Charter is clear whether serving as a selection committee or Council, only Councilmembers can vote, not the Mayor. Selection and hiring are synonymous. His was one of a cautionary concern.

Mayor Flores pointed out that Council is simply approving the record of what transpired at that meeting. If someone wants to change how something was done, that is different.

City Manager McCue reminded Council of their desire to take part in the selection process. Two selection committees were used during the purchasing process, which is separate from Council's decision-making. The initial selection committee shortlisted the list of vendors to two (2) firms. Those two (2) firms made presentations before the Mayor and Council. The Mayor and Council reached a consensus on one of the firms and Councilmembers then took a vote to move forward with negotiations; the Mayor did not vote. Staff has negotiated a contract that is scheduled to go before Council on August 20, 2018.

Councilman Pearce emphasized that Council hired RMA on July 2, 2018 followed by contract talks. "Hiring" or "selecting" is the first part to discuss the dollar amount and services to be provided, and the second part is the negotiations. He questioned, "who has the authority to hire someone?"

City Attorney Glen Torcivia clarified that the Mayor participated in the selection; however, he did not take a vote on the matter. He did not believe anything was amiss.

Request to Councilman Pearce asked to withdraw his motion to table. Withdraw Motion:

Motion was withdrawn.

1. Official Minutes: City Council Visioning Presentations Workshop Minutes of June 26, 2018 - Joanna Cunningham, City Clerk
2. Official Minutes: City Council Minutes of July 2, 2018 - Joanna Cunningham, City Clerk
3. Resolution No. 2018- 25:- Adopted by the City Council of the City of Greenacres, honoring fifty years of Municipal Home Rule in the Florida Constitution and committing to an educational initiative to help Floridians understand this beneficial right.- Andrea McCue, City Manager.
4. Countywide FY 2017 JAG: Approving the distribution of FY 2018 JAG funds by the Palm Beach County Criminal Justice Commission; pursuant to Staff Memo_ - Andrea McCue, City Manager.
5. Board Appointment- Zoning Board of Adjustments and Appeals: Appoint Olga Sierra as a Full Member and Joan Hayes as Alternate \# 2 on the Zoning Board of Adjustments and Appeals. - Joel Flores, Mayor.

MOTION: Councilman Tharp made a motion to approve the five (5) Consent Agenda items. Deputy Mayor Bousquet seconded the motion.

# VOTE ON <br> THE MOTION: 

In Favor: Deputy Mayor Bousquet, Councilman
Tharp, Councilman Noble, Councilwoman Dugo, and Councilman Pearce.

Motion carried: 5-0.

## 7. Regular Agenda:

A. Ordinance No. 2018-15: First Reading; Amending Chapter 15 entitled "Utilities" to amend Article II, by creating a new Division 1 for the existing sections to be entitled "Billed Residential Solid Waste Collection Services" and creating a new Division 2,to be entitled "Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Special Assessment", to provide for the levy and collection of a residential solid waste collection services special assessment related to those services within the City of Greenacres; providing definitions and findings; authorizing the imposition and collection of a residential solid waste collection services special assessment; establishing procedures for notice and adoption of the residential solid waste collection services special assessment roll and for correcting errors and omissions; providing that residential solid waste collection services special assessments constitute a lien on assessed property upon adoption of the residential solid waste collection services special assessment roll; establishing procedures and methods for the collection of a residential solid waste collection services special assessment; establishing the priority of the lien over prior recorded liens or mortgages; providing for repeal of conflicting ordinances; providing for severability; providing for inclusion in code; and providing for an effective date; pursuant to Staff Memo.- James McInnis, Finance Director.

City Clerk Joanna Cunningham read Ordinance 2018-15 into the record on first reading.

Finance Director Jim McInnis stated that the purpose of this Ordinance is to establish legal authority to add solid waste collection services to residents' property tax bill as an assessment.

Resolution 2017-48 established the City's intent to include the solid waste assessment in the residential property tax bill. By doing so, it allows property owners to take advantage of a $4 \%$ discount. It provides the City a reduction in manpower and a higher collection rate.

There are currently $\$ 505,000$ in outstanding solid waste collection fees that cannot be transferred to the PBC Tax Collector until the balances are paid. Greenacres is one of the few cities that was not assessing solid waste collection services on the tax bill. Therefore, staff recommends approval of Ordinance 2018-15.

Mayor Flores called on Councilmembers for comments.
Councilmembers and Finance Director McInnis commented that the City's notification was very technical; residents were very confused and thought a new assessment was being billed; would the second notice be provided in two
languages; Council wants to proofread the second letter prior to mailing; review the statute to remove the word "special" from Ordinance 2018-15; how has the rate been determined; homeowner associations/property managers were noticed on solid waste bills not to pay for solid waste collection; however, staff is unsure how the solid waste fees will be reflected through maintenance fees; send out a short, second notice in laymen's terms; certain legalese is required in the notice, however, the second notice can include more laymen's terms and less in legalese; add a link for Spanish or Creole and keep the second notice simple.

MOTION: Councilman Pearce made a motion to approve Ordinance 2018-15, on first reading following review by legal counsel of the term, "special" assessment. Councilman Noble seconded the motion.

| VOTE ON | In Favor: Deputy Mayor Bousquet, Councilman Tharp, |
| :--- | :--- |
| THE MOTION: | Councilman Noble, Councilwoman Dugo, and <br> Councilman Pearce. |

Motion carried: 5-0.
Mayor Flores announced that the next agenda item is a quasi-judicial one.
B. QUASI-JUDICIAL PUBLIC HEARING Resolution No. 2018-26 SE-17-03 Dairy Queen:, Approving the application for a Special Exception to allow a drive-in / fast food restaurant in a Commercial General (CG) Zoning District, located on the southwest corner of Empire Way and Lake Worth Road at 4828 Lake Worth Road, as requested by the petitioner, Kimley Horn and Associates, agent for the owner, GL Melby, LLC; providing for repeal of conflicting resolutions; and providing for an effective date; pursuant to Staff Memo and Staff Report. - Project Manager: Osniel Leon, Senior Planner.

City Clerk Cunningham read Resolution 2018-26 into the record.
Mayor Flores called for ex-parte communications by Council on this item; hearing none, he called on those providing testimony to stand and be sworn in. City Clerk Joanna Cunningham administered the oath.

George Balaban of Kimley-Horn \& Associates, Inc. and agent for the owner, described the proposed Dairy Queen with a drive-through lane to be located on the southwest corner of Lake Worth Road and Empire Way. He reviewed the ingress and egress points of the proposed site and agreed with staff's seven (7) conditions of approval.

Senior Planner Osniel Leon described the Special Exception request to allow a drive-in fast food restaurant with a drive-through lane in a Commercial General (CG) zoning district. Conceptual site plan details include demolishing the existing building and construct a one-store, $3,115 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. structure as a Dairy Queen; with 32 parking spaces and two (2) handicap spaces. Mr. Leon stated that the proposal is compatible with adjacent uses and meets the objectives and policies of the City's

Comprehensive Plan. The landscape plan provides perimeter and interior landscaping. And the proposed use is suitable for the commercial corridor. The Planning Commission recommended approval by a vote of 7/0; therefore, staff recommends approval of SE-17-03 with staff's seven (7) conditions of approval.

Mayor Flores called on Councilmembers for comments; hearing none, he opened the meeting to the public. He asked if anyone was in favor of, or opposed to, Resolution 2018-26 to come forward. Seeing no one come forward, he closed the meeting to the public.

Councilmembers, staff and Mr. Balaban discussed the entrance from Lake Worth Road and would it also serve as an exit; concerns over potential noise levels generated by the callbox; the lack of an exit onto Lake Worth Road to minimize impacts on Empire Way; the City's traffic engineer would need to revisit an exit onto Lake Worth Road; the applicant met with FDOT but due to the proximity to Lake Worth Road and drivers making U-turns, FDOT was opposed to the idea; Bud's Chicken requires entry from Empire Way and exiting east onto Lake Worth Road similar to this proposal.

MOTION: Councilman Pearce made a motion to approve Resolution 2018-26 as presented. Councilman Noble seconded the motion.

| VOTE ON | In Favor: Deputy Mayor Bousquet, Councilman Tharp, |
| :--- | :--- |
| THE MOTION: | Councilman Noble, Councilwoman Dugo, and <br> Councilman Pearce. |

Motion carried: 5-0.
C. Site Plan Approval SP-17-03: Approval of Site Plan 17-03 (Dairy Queen) located at 4828 Lake Worth Road, on a southwest corner of Lake Worth Road and Empire Way; pursuant to Staff Memo and Staff Report. - Project Manager: Osniel Leon, Senior Planner.

Senior Planner Leon described the companion request for Site Plan approval to construct a $3,115 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. restaurant with a drive-through and bypass lanes, 70 indoor and 26 outdoor seats, 32 parking spaces including two (2) handicap spaces and perimeter landscaping, ingress/egress points on Lake Worth Road and Empire Way. The Planning Commission recommended approval by a vote of 7/0; therefore, staff recommends approval of SP-17-03, subject to staff's twenty-three (23) conditions of approval.

Mayor Flores called on Councilmembers for comments; hearing none, he called for a motion.

MOTION: Councilman Pearce made a motion to approve SP-17-03 (Dairy Queen), subject to staff's twenty-three (23) conditions of approval. Deputy Mayor Bousquet seconded the motion.

VOTE ON In Favor: Deputy Mayor Bousquet, Councilman Tharp, THE MOTION: Councilman Noble, Councilwoman Dugo, and Councilman Pearce.

Motion carried: 5-0.
Mayor Flores called for a 3-minute recess at 8:20 pm. The meeting reconvened at 8:23 pm.
D. Board Appointment - Retirement Board of Trustees - Appoint one Council Appointee to the Board of Trustees for the remainder of the term that ends June 25, 2020. - Joanna Cunningham, City Clerk.

Mayor Flores announced that two (2) applications were received during the nomination period for Council consideration. He referred questions to the City Clerk and asked for comments from Councilmembers.

Deputy Mayor Bousquet commented that both applicants were qualified candidates, however a potential conflict of dual interest was possible being that Mr. Leheny is a Deputy and Mr. Wade is a resident. She recommended the appointment of Mr. Wade.

Councilwoman Dugo commended both for their dedication and nominated Mr. Leheny. She understood his appointment could be perceived as a conflict of interest.

Mayor Flores called on Councilmembers for comments; hearing none, he called for a motion.

MOTION: Councilwoman Dugo made a motion to appoint Benjamin Wade as the Council appointee to the Board of Trustees. Deputy Mayor Bousquet seconded the motion.

VOTE ON In Favor: Deputy Mayor Bousquet, Councilman Tharp, THE MOTION: Councilman Noble, Councilwoman Dugo, and Councilman Pearce.

## Motion carried: 5-0.

E. Lake Worth Road Corridor Presentation and Resolution No. 2018-24: supporting a request to have a safety study conducted by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) for Lake Worth Road in consideration of a speed reduction; providing for transmittal to the Village of Palm Springs and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT); and providing for an effective date; pursuant to Staff Memo. - Andrea McCue, City Manager.

Tom Lanahan, Deputy Executive Director of the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council (TCRPC), gave Council a presentation on the project status of the Lake Worth Road Multimodal Corridor Study. The study is a multi-agency review of the needs and purpose of the Complete Street concept that includes different modes of transportation e.g. pedestrians, bikes, buses, vehicles and is in connection with an upcoming Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) repaving project scheduled along Lake Worth Road from South Military Trail east to Congress Avenue.

Mr. Lanahan suggested that the City of Greenacres could find this project worthwhile once the repaving project begins to include the western portion of Lake Worth Road.

He highlighted the design and beautification elements of the Complete Street concept which included improved corridor lighting and drainage, wider building setbacks, safer road design, landscaping, and safer street crossings for all users and modes of transportation.

FDOT categorizes Lake Worth Road as a C4-Urban General arterial. Based on the FDOT design manual, the allowable speed for Lake Worth Road is between $30-45 \mathrm{mph}$. He provided safety statistics, vehicle speed survival rates and visibility challenges to roadway design. The Village of Palm Springs has requested a study to lower the speed limit from 40 down to 35 mph along Lake Worth Road. TCRPC would like to hear Greenacres' input on a design speed.

Mr. Lanahan provided the timeframe to complete the process beginning July 2018 through to actual construction in 2022.

Mayor Flores asked why was the portion west of South Military Trail not included in the first study.

Mr. Lanahan explained it was a question of age. The eastern portion was much older than the western portion. From South Military Trail west to Raulerson Road, the road was repaved less than 15 years ago. L.C. Swain Middle was also discussed; however only sections in dire need of attention get addressed. He noted however, that the discussion of the school will lay the groundwork for what comes next.

Councilman Noble asked if cut-ins for bus stops had been considered.
Mr. Lanahan emphasized that FDOT would not be obtaining right-of-way of any kind. He noted that Palm Tran is now more accepting of bus bays and through local government land development approvals, rights-of-way could be acquired.

Councilman Noble suggested businesses may be interested in bus bays.
Deputy Mayor Bousquet questioned how far off was the western portion scheduled for repaving, since the City is looking to landscape the medians and the Complete Street concept calls for narrower medians.

Mr. Lanahan stated he was unsure if it was on FDOT's schedule, but would inquire when that area was last addressed. He pointed out that the width of the medians and distance from the tree to the curbing is the most expensive change, although cheaper than changing the curbing next to a sidewalk and drainage.

Deputy Mayor Bousquet and Mr. Lanahan discussed vehicles turning on red lights, the impact on cyclists, additional signage and signal timing.

Councilwoman Dugo was concerned over costs to reduce the width of the medians and the City's plans to irrigate and landscape them.

Mr. Lanahan pointed out the immediate project will not negatively impact the City since there are no landscaped medians from South Military Trial west to Raulerson Road. If an existing irrigation system is installed FDOT would adjust.

Councilwoman Dugo asked what was TCRPC seeking from Council.
Mr. Lanahan explained that Greenacres Resolution 2018-24 requests a safety study to consider reducing the speed limit for the portion of Lake Worth Road from Raulerson Road east to Congress Avenue.

Mayor Flores, Councilmembers, staff and Mr. Lanahan discussed keeping the speed limits consistent throughout; the Village of Palm Springs' council had requested to reduce the speed limit to 35 mph east of Congress Avenue; being unlikely that FDOT would reduce the number of lanes; however, they would be narrowed based on the designed cross-sections; drive lanes not lining up on South Military Trail and Lake Worth Road; reducing the speed limit to 35 mph and adding bike lanes; changing the speed limit to 45 mph ; by keeping the speed limit at 45 mph there would be no need for a study; FDOT would not increase the speed limit to 45 mph east of South Military Trail; the Village of Palm Springs is asking for the City's support on reducing the speed limit; with a slower speed limit, it allows drivers the ability to notice the local businesses;

City Clerk Joanna Cunningham read Resolution 2018-24 into the record.

| MOTION: | Deputy Mayor Bousquet made a motion to approve <br> Resolution 2018-24 as presented. <br> seconded the motion. |
| :--- | :--- |
| VOTE ON | In Favor: Deputy Mayor Bousquet, Councilman Tharp, <br> and Councilman Noble. <br> Opposed: Councilwoman Dugo and Councilman <br> Pearce. |

## Motion carried: 3-2.

## 8. Comments from the Public.

Josh Leheny thanked the Mayor and Council for appointing an objective member to the Board of Trustees. As a resident and employee, he believed Mr. Wade understands the
needs and concerns of the pension plan members.

## 9. Discussion Item:

A. Meeting Schedule and Time of City Council Meetings. - Joel Flores, Mayor.

Mayor Flores reported that after researching how the hour for Council meetings was established, he believed it would be more convenient to change Council meetings to 6:00 pm to reduce the staff wait time between meetings and called for a consensus:

Deputy Mayor Bousquet: In favor.
Councilman Tharp: In favor.
Councilman Noble: In favor.
Councilwoman Dugo: In favor.
Councilman Pearce: In favor.
Mayor Flores asked City Attorney Torcivia if he was in agreement. City Attorney Torcivia said he was in agreement.

Consensus: Present a Resolution to Council at the August $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }}$ Council meeting establishing a new Council meeting time of 6:00 pm beginning with the new fiscal year.

## 10. Staff Comments:

A. City Manager's Report.

Back to School Block Party - City Manager McCue reported on a great turnout with over 500 donated backpacks and more than 200 bike helmets handed out by PBSO. She thanked the Leisure Services Department, PBSO, and Helping Hands.

FLC Conference - She reminded everyone to sign the petition for Home Rule on their website.

Budget Meeting Follow-up - City Manager McCue requested direction on legal counsel for the City and asked Council if they wanted Mr. Torcivia to stay, conduct a full search following the new fiscal year, or look at hiring an internal employee.

Mayor Flores, Deputy Mayor Bousquet, Councilman Noble, Councilwoman Dugo and Councilman Tharp were pleased with the services of Torcivia, Donlon, Goddeau \& Ansay, P.A. and would like to see them extended into FY19.

Councilman Pearce questioned Mr. Torcivia's availability to Council and the number of working days he attends City Hall.

## Direction: Extend the Torcivia, Donlon, Goddeau \& Ansay, P.A. contract through FY19.

City Manager's Cell Phone - City Manager McCue felt it was more cost effective to have a City-issued cell phone at a cost of \$40/month instead of receiving a $\$ 100$ monthly stipend and asked to have her contract amended to reflect the change.
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Deputy Mayor Bousquet, Councilmembers Tharp, Dugo, and Pearce agreed. Councilman Noble noted the annual savings of $\$ 720$ and proposed a salary increase for the City Manager.

Miami Grill - City Manager McCue announced that Miami Grill located on the northeast corner of South Jog Road and Melaleuca Lane was now open for business.

September Budget Hearings - She reminded the Mayor and Council that the September budget meetings would be held on Thursdays, September $6^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ at 6:00 p.m.

## City Attorney's Report.

City Attorney Torcivia thanked the Mayor, Council and staff for their vote of confidence.

## 11. Mayor and City Council Reports.

District V: Deputy Mayor Bousquet suggested establishing health savings accounts for employees using pre-taxed dollars to help pay for medical bills.

Councilman Tharp: Yes.
Councilman Noble: Requested more research.
Councilwoman Dugo: Does the City manage accounts for employees?
Councilman Pearce: Yes.
Consensus: Council directed the Human Resources Department to
research health savings accounts and present its findings to Council.
District IV: Councilman Pearce thanked Capt. Moore for the quick apprehension and recent arrest of a robbery suspect. He proposed a bonus for City Clerk Cunningham for FY19, for introducing the passport; services program to the City and the revenue stream it provided. He wanted to incentivize employees to come up with similar ideas.

Mayor Flores suggested adding this as a discussion item at the next Council meeting and questioned whether Council should get involved with administrative operation decisions.

City Manager McCue believed Council could discuss such issues and noted that she is in the process of changing the current performance evaluations to a performance-based merit system to incentivize employees who go above and beyond their standard job descriptions starting in FY19. She was unsure of the statutory requirements of using taxpayer dollars to pay for bonuses.

City Attorney Torcivia noted that there are some state restrictions regarding bonuses for government employees and offered to discuss the matter further with the City Manager and Human Resources.

City Manager McCue deferred to the City Attorney.
Councilman Pearce asked about possibly creating a policy for bonuses above the normal merit increases. He asked the City Attorney to research other cities and their policies.

Mayor Flores called for a consensus to hold further discussions on bonuses for employees:

Deputy Mayor Bousquet: She was excited to return to a merit-based evaluation process and although Ms. Cunningham should receive a bonus, she would defer to the City Manager and City Attorney regarding individual bonuses.

Councilman Tharp: Yes.
Councilman Noble: Yes, and requested an income/cost breakdown on passport services.

Councilwoman Dugo: She thanked the City Manager for her new evaluation policy.

Councilman Pearce: He clarified that the policy he is proposing would be above what the new merit system would provide. Employees need an incentive to come up with new ideas to help generate revenue.

City Manager McCue emphasized that with the new merit system, employees have the potential to receive up to $4.5 \%$ based on whether they meet, exceed, or greatly exceed expectations.

Mayor Flores stated he was extremely excited when the idea of providing passport services was proposed. However, Council needs to leave the task of issuing bonuses to employees to the City Manager. He reminded Council that their role is one of leadership and to adopt a fiscally sound budget.

Councilman Pearce respectfully disagreed and insisted that Council could create a policy to create an incentive program and keep the momentum going.

Councilwoman Dugo emphasized that as a Councilmember, she was responsible for one employee - the City Manager.

## Consensus: None.

Mayor Flores called for consensus on discussing a bonus for the City Clerk for proposing the passport services program:

Councilman Tharp: No, on City Clerk bonus discussion; however, yes, on a discussion on ways to incentivize employees.

Councilman Noble: It is unfair to discuss a bonus for one employee at a Council meeting, but yes, on a discussion to incentivize employees.

Councilwoman Dugo: Deferred to the City Manager.
Councilman Pearce: Yes,
Deputy Mayor Bousquet: It is not Council's prevue and deferred to the City Manager.

## Consensus: None.

District III: No report.
District II: $\quad$ Councilman Noble was thankful for the $1.5 \%$ salary increase that took 20 years to achieve. He believed that the City Manager should be considered for a salary increase based on her evaluations. He recommended a 4.5\% merit increase for FY19.

The Mayor, Councilmembers, City Manager McCue and City Attorney Torcivia discussed including the City Manager's salary review in the next Council agenda (8/20/18); not conducting City Manager evaluations in a public forum; the City Manager's evaluation process being public record; the responsibilities of the City Manager changing based on Council priorities; Council should discuss the evaluation/salary privately; a brief discussion to set her salary being appropriate; meet with the City Manager independently to evaluate her performance; it is within the Council's prevue to compensate her more than the standard merit increases allow; Council must have the opportunity to address any issues.

## Mayor Flores requested that a City Manager evaluation/salary review be included in the August 20, 2018 City Council agenda.

District 1: Councilman Tharp asked for a consensus on a staff review of candidate qualifications for public office. It was his belief that as elected officials, they should be held to a higher standard. With recent news events he believed that if an elected official is convicted a felony it precludes them from running for a municipal office.

Councilmembers discussed if convicted and not a citizen, they cannot run for office; more staff research is needed; if you do the crime, you do the time; standards are set by state statutes or federal laws; let the voters decide; only the Governor can suspend/remove an elected official from office; can Council add a stipulation about being adjudicated of a crime; there are three (3) candidate qualifications to run for Mayor or Council seat in the Charter: 1) must be a resident of the City for one calendar year, 2) must be a registered elector of the City and 3) must live in the district for the seat they seek (other than the Mayor who can reside anywhere within the City's municipal boundaries); a Charter referendum could be added to the next ballot; a "higher standard" being vague;

Mayor Flores called for a consensus of having the City Attorney conduct further research on candidate qualifications:

Deputy Mayor Bousquet: No.
Councilman Tharp: Yes.
Councilman Noble: No.
Councilwoman Dugo: No.
Councilman Pearce: No.

## Consensus: Council voted 4/1 to not conduct further research on candidate qualifications.

Mayor: $\quad$ September Budget Meetings
Mayor Flores announced a schedule conflict for the two budget meetings in September and asked the City Manager to change the dates if possible.

## Back to School Block Party

He thanked Councilmembers, the Leisure Services Department, PBSO and City staff for a very successful Back to School Block Party. He hoped to receive 200 backpacks more for next year's event.

John I Leonard High School
Mayor Flores referred to an email he forwarded to Council from the captain of the marching band requesting donations.

## Weekend Code Enforcement

Now that the City has a full complement of code enforcement officers, the Mayor was hopeful that weekend coverage would be provided.

## New Board Appointment

Mayor Flores welcomed Olga Sierra, the newest board member appointed to the Zoning Board of Adjustments and Appeals.

## Hurricane Irma "Thank You" Event

The Mayor noted that a group of community leaders and business owners want to hold an activity at Community Park to thank the City for the help they received during Hurricanes Maria and Irma. The event is being scheduled around September $15^{\text {th }}$ or $16^{\text {th }}$ and being offered by La Cidra Church, Restaurant Coqui and Piar Bakery. He called for a consensus:

Deputy Mayor Bousquet: Yes.
Councilman Tharp: Yes.
Councilman Noble: Yes.

Councilwoman Dugo:
Councilman Pearce: Yes.

## Consensus: 4 Councilmembers were in favor of holding the event at Samuel J. Ferreri Community Park.

## 12. Adjournment.

Deputy Mayor Bousquet moved to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Councilman Noble. The meeting adjourned at 10:10 p.m.

CITY COUNCIL

Joel Flores
Mayor
/mel
Attachments
Atach

Respectfully submitted,

Joanna Cunningham, MMC
City Clerk
Date Approved: $\qquad$

# CITY OF GREENACRES 

Council Agenda Memo

2018.08TB2.01

TO: Mayor and City Council
THRU: James McInnis, Director of Finance
FROM: Teri Beiriger, Budget Analyst/Grant Coordinator
SUBJECT: Resolution No. 2018-35 2018 Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program Application

DATE: $\quad$ September 6, 2018
COPIES: Glen Torcivia, City Attorney
Joanna Cunningham, City Clerk

## Background:

The Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program provides funding to state and local governments to support a broad range of activities to prevent and control crime and to improve the criminal justice system. Under the Memorial Edward Byrne Justice Assistance Grant Program, the City of Greenacres was allocated an amount of $\$ 16,431$ and in order to receive the allocation the City needs to submit an application in compliance with the grant requirements.

## Analysis:

Resolution 2018-35 authorizes the submittal of the FY 2018 JAG application and authorizes the appropriate City Officials to sign the grant documents and administer the grant. The grant does not require a City match of funds. The grant requires the following administrative steps be taken to obtain funding:
A. Grant application must be made available for review by the governing body of the unit of local government not fewer than 30 days before the application is submitted to the Bureau of Justice Assistance.
B. Provide assurance that the public had an opportunity to comment on the proposed grant.
C. Submit all grant applications through the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) Grants Management System (GMS) only.

The funds will be used to procure two license plate readers and a Laser Speed Device.

## Financial:

The grant is $100 \%$ funded in the amount of $\$ 16,431$. The project will be included in the FY 2019 Budget.

## Legal:

The resolution has been prepared in accordance with the City Code requirements.

## Staff Recommendation:

Approval of Resolution 2018-35 authorizing the submittal of the JAG application.

[^1]RESOLUTION NO. 2018-35


#### Abstract

A RESOLUTION ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING THE SUBMITTAL OF THE FY2018 JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG) PROGRAM APPLICATION TO THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS, BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO SIGN ALL GRANT DOCUMENTS AND ACCEPT AWARD OF THE GRANT, AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER WITH SIGNATURE AUTHORITY RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTING THE GRANT FOR THE PROCUREMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY TO BE USED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the Department of Justice Appropriations Authorization Act, (Public Law 109-162), authorizes the Attorney General to make funds available to units of local government, under the Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program, for purposes of reducing crime and improving Public Safety; and

WHEREAS, the Bureau of Justice Assistance has allotted a proposed allocation, for the City of Greenacres, in the amount of $\$ 16,431$ to cover one hundred (100\%) percent of the cost of two License Plate Readers and a Laser Speed Device; and

WHEREAS, the City of Greenacres held a Public Hearing on September 6, 2018 of such funds; and

WHEREAS, the Public Notice was duly posted at the City Hall to inform the public of the meeting to consider the purpose for which this Justice Assistance Grant is sought.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA, THAT:

Section 1. The City Council hereby authorizes the submittal of the 2018 Justice Assistance Grant Program application, and authorizes the City Manager to sign all grant documents and accept the award of the Grant.

Section 2. The City Council hereby authorizes the City Manager with signature authority responsible for implementing the grant.

Section 3. The City Council hereby approves the use of the grant to fund the cost of equipment once received.

RESOLVED AND ADOPTED this $6^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2018.

Voted

## Joel Flores

Mayor
Attest:

Joanna Cunningham
City Clerk



Approved as to Form and Legal Sufficiency:

## Glen J. Torcivia

City Attorney

# CITY OF GREENACRES 

Council Agenda Memo
2018.08KF03.003

TO: Mayor and City Council
THROUGH: Andrea McCue, City Manager
FROM: Kara L. Irwin-Ferris, AICP, Planning and Engineering Director
SUBJECT: Ordinance 2018-12, CPA-17-03
Capital Improvement Element update
City Council Agenda Item for 09-06-18
DATE: August 24, 2018
COPIES: Glen J. Torcivia, City Attorney Joanna Cunningham, City Clerk
File: CPA-17-03

## Background:

The Capital Improvement Element within the Comprehensive Plan is being updated to reflect the City's adopted Capital Improvement Program for the years 2018 through 2023, relevant portions of the Palm Beach County Five Year Road Program, and the Palm Beach County Water Utilities Department Water Supply Work Plan. The update also includes the School District of Palm Beach County's Capital Improvement Program, which is required to be kept up to date by the City's Comprehensive Plan. In accordance with revised State Statutes concerning the processing of updates to the Capital Improvement Element, a copy of this text amendment will be provided to the Florida Department of Economic Development (DEO) as a courtesy.

The Local Planning Agency reviewed this text amendment on July 18, 2018, and recommended approval by a vote of 7-0. On August 20, 2018 the City Council voted 50 to approve the amendment on first reading.

## Analysis:

The text amendment will authorize an update to the Capital Improvement Element within the City's Comprehensive Plan as required by state statute.

Overall, this amendment is compatible with the Treasure Cost Regional Planning Council's Strategic Policy Plan and Chapter 163, Florida Statutes.

## Financial:

N/A.

## Legal:

Ordinance 2018-12 was prepared in accordance with all applicable state statutes and City Code Requirements.

## Staff Recommendation:

Approval of CPA-17-03 through the adoption of Ordinance 2018-12.


Kara L. Irwin-Ferris, AICP
Planning and Engineering Director

Attachments:

1. Staff Report
2. Exhibit "B", School District CIP
3. Ordinance 2018-12

## LAND DEVELOPMENT STAFF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

## Subject/Agenda Item:

Capital Improvements Element (CIE) - Text Amendment
Ordinance 2018-12 (Second Reading and adoption): A City-initiated request for a text amendment that will update the Capital Improvement Element within the Comprehensive Plan.

```
[X] Recommendation to APPROVE
[ ] Recommendation to DENY
[ ] Quasi-Judicial
[X] Legislative
[X] Public Hearing
```



```
Attachments:
    - Ordinance 2018-12
    - Exhibit "B"
```

City Council Action:
[X ] Approval
[ ] Approve with conditions
[ ] Denial
[ ] Continued to:
$\qquad$

## I. Executive Summary

This is the yearly update to the Capital Improvements Element (CIE) of the City's Comprehensive Plan to maintain consistency between the City's most recently adopted budget and the Comprehensive Plan. This update also includes the updates to the most recently approved Capital Improvements Programs adopted by Palm Beach County and the Palm Beach County School Board for project located or affecting the City's jurisdiction.

## II. Proposed Comprehensive Plan Amendments:

The following is a proposed comprehensive plan amendment. Items which are proposed for deletion are in Strike-Through, items proposed for addition are in Single Underline.

## Text Amendment \#1:

## II. INFORMATION, INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS:

A. DEFINITIONS (omitted for brevity - no text changes)
B. PUBLIC EDUCATION AND HEALTH SYSTEMS (omitted for brevity - no changes)

## C. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS NEEDS

Capital Improvements where necessary and appropriate have been identified throughout the relevant elements of this plan. Table No. 1A depicts required City capital improvements from the Recreation and Open Space Element, Infrastructure Element (Drainage), and Transportation Element necessary to maintain the adopted Level of Service.

Table No. 1A indicates the project by description, the target year wherein the project should commence to maintain service levels and an estimate of the total project costs. All projects have been grouped by the element requiring the capital improvement. Footnotes describe the funding source.

The projects listed in Table No. 1A do not represent the entire capital improvements program for the City. The table merely reflects those improvements necessary to maintain the adopted Level of Service for services the City is fully or partly responsible for as shown elsewhere in the Comprehensive Plan.
[The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.]

| Fable No. 1A: City Of Greenacres Capital Improvements (2017-2022) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2024 | 2022 |
| Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No projects | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stormwater Management and Sewer Extension |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stormwater Pipe \& Basin Replacement ${ }^{4}$ | 157,000 | $\underline{25000}$ | $\underline{25,000}$ | $\underline{25,000}$ | $\underline{25000}$ | $\underline{25,000}$ |
| Original Section Drainage Improvements ${ }^{4}$ | 550,008 | 275.119 | 253.654 | 397,708 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Swain Blud Sewer ${ }^{4}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 435,000 | 3,325,000 | $\underline{\square}$ | $\underline{\square}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bowman Streot Improvements ${ }^{3}$ | $\theta$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | 150,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Dillman Road Sidewalks ${ }^{3}$ | 62.500 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| City Sidewalks ${ }^{3}$ | 75,600 | 288,000 | 208,800 | $\theta$ | 0 | $\theta$ |
| Public Buildings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Safety HQ Renovation ${ }^{2}$ | $\underline{223.650}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| IOTAL: | 3,797,250 | 493,500 | 267,000 | 395,000 | 377,000 | 25,000 |

Table No. 1A: City Of Greenacres Capital Improvements (2018-2023)

|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No projects | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stormwater Management and Sewer Extension |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gladiator Lake ${ }^{1}$ | 0 | 1,300,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Original Section Drainage Improvement ${ }^{1}$ | 0 | 275,119 | 128,654 | 272,708 | 0 | 0 |
| Swain Blvd Sewer ${ }^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 435,000 | 3,325,000 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bowman Street Improvements ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |
| TOTAL: | $\underline{0}$ | 1,725,119 | 563,654 | 3,597,708 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ |

1. The stormwater and drainage enhancements are intended to increase the capacity of these older drainage systems to improve performance and flood protection. Total project costs for FY $2017 \underline{2018}$ through FY2022 2023 are $\$ 1,758,489 \$ 5,611,481$. Grant funds of $\$ 525,767$ of $\$ 433,036.00$ are anticipated to be provided through Community Development Block Grants over the same period. The Swain Blvd Sewer improvements will provide sewer infrastructure and therefore capacity to the Original Section of the City, which currently only has septic systems.
2. The Bowman Street improvements will improve a portion of the Bowman Street to City street standards where it connects to South Military Trail, an Urban Principal Arterial (UPA) roadway.
3. The Dillman-Read sidewalks will provide safe access for neighborhood kids to the Cholee Lake Elementary Sehool. The Bowman Street improvements will improve a portion of the Bowman Street to City street standards where it eonnects to South Military Trail, an Urban Principal Arterial (UPA) roadway. The City sidewalks project will-eomplete all umfinished links in the City's sidewalk program. These improvements are intended to promote
multi-modal access to an elementary school and bring a roadway connection up to level of service standards. Total project costs for FY 2017 through FY 2022 are $\$ 784,900$.

Table No. 1B depicts improvements to the County and State roadway network proposed as part of the Palm Beach County's "Five Year Road Program" and shows projects within or adjacent to the City of Greenacres. The Table is based on the Program as of December 16, 2016 December 19, 2017. The Program is funded through a combination of gasoline taxes, interest, bonds, impact fees, and miscellaneous revenue, all of which are collected and controlled by Palm Beach County.

| Table No. 1B: Palm Beach County Road Program (2017-2021) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | 2017 | 2048 | 2019 | 2020 | 2024 |
| Intersection of L.W. Road and Jog Road | 630,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Purdy Lane/Forest Hill Elem School to Major DF | 2,300,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Fotal Greenacres Area Projects | 2,930,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Fotal Program Revenue | 117,819,000 | 43,079,000 | 29,740,000 | 33,809,000 | 5,359,000 |
| Fotal Program Costs | 117,819,000 | 43,079,000 | 29,740,000 | 33,809,000 | 5,359,000 |

Table No. 1B: Palm Beach County Road Program (2018-2021)

| Description | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 8}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 9}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 2 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 2 1}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 2 2}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection of L.W. Road and Jog Road | $\underline{630,000}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Greenacres Area Projects | $\underline{630,000}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Program Revenue | $\underline{69,317,324}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Program Costs | $\underline{69,050,000}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |

Table 1C depicts improvements shown in the Palm Beach County Water Utilities Department's "Water Supply Work Plan" (Capital Improvement Plan Detail) and includes projects throughout their interconnected system. The table is based on the Capital Improvement Plan Detail as of October 21, 2016 October 21, 2017. All of the projects depicted in Table 1C are 100\% funded by Water Utility User Fees.

| Description | 2017 | 2018 | $\underline{2019}$ | 2020 | 2024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Treatment Plantz | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | 15,021,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Whater TreatmentPlant9 | $\underline{\theta}$ | 1,750,000 | 11,560,000 | 5,749,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Water Treatment Plant 14 | 1,101,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Wollfield Rehabilitation and Construction | 1,563,000 | 8,500,000 | 6,450,000 | $7,052,000$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| TOTAL: | 31,144,000 | 15,343,000 | 31,248,000 | 21,024,000 | 9,334,000 |


| Table No. 1C: Palm Beach County Water Utilities Department Capital Improvements (2018-2022) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Description | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 8}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 9}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 2 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 2 1}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 2 2}}$ |
| Water Treatment Plant 2 | $\underline{5,000,000}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{12,675,000}$ | $\underline{84,700,000}$ |
| Water Treatment Plant 3 | $\underline{2,000,000}$ | $\underline{2,000,000}$ | $\underline{2,000,000}$ | $\underline{2,000,000}$ | $\underline{2,000,000}$ |
| Water Treatment Plant 8 | $\underline{4,000,000}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{1,000,000}$ | $\underline{4,000,000}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Water Treatment Plant 9 | $\underline{1,750,000}$ | $\underline{9,515,000}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| $\underline{\text { Water Treatment Plant 11 }}$ | $\underline{2,600,000}$ | $\underline{2,000,000}$ | $\underline{2,000,000}$ | $\underline{2,000,000}$ | $\underline{2,000,000}$ |
| $\underline{\text { System wide Wellfield Rehabilitation }}$ | $\underline{7,250,000}$ | $\underline{8,750,000}$ | $\underline{8,500,000}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| $\underline{\text { and Replacement }}$ | $\underline{02,600,000}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 2 , 2 6 5 , 0 0 0}}$ | $\underline{13,500,000}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 , 6 7 5 , 0 0 0}}$ | $\underline{88,7000,000}$ |
| $\underline{\text { TOTAL: }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8, below in the Goals, Objectives and Policies Section, depicts the School District of Palm Beach County's Capital Improvement program to maintain the adopted level of service.
D. FINANCIAL CAPABILITY AND FISCAL PRACTICES (omitted for brevity - no changes)
E. AN ASSESSMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES (omitted for brevity - no changes)
F. ANALYSIS OF ISSUES RELATIVE TO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS (omitted for brevity - no changes)

## Text Amendment \#2 to Table 8 on Pages CIE-26 through CIE-32 (9 pages):

Replace existing Table 8 with revised Table 8 attached as "Exhibit B" (9 pages)

## III. Data and Analysis

The Capital Improvement Element (CIE) is a requirement of the 1985 Growth Management Legislation which revised Florida Statutes Chapter 163, the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act. As a result, the CIE becomes a central component in the Comprehensive Plan as it enables a municipality to set forth its construction, extension and capacity increases in public facilities and services necessary to support development concurrent with the impacts of said development.

The purpose of the Capital Improvement Element is to evaluate the need for facilities identified in the other Comprehensive Plan Elements and as defined in the applicable definitions for each type of public facility, to estimate the cost of improvements for which the City of Greenacres has fiscal responsibility,
to analyze the fiscal capability of the City to finance and construct improvements, to adopt financial policies to guide the funding of improvements and to schedule the funding and construction of improvements in a manner necessary to ensure that capital improvements are provided when required, based on needs identified in other plan elements.

The CIE was extensively updated in September of 2008 as part of the Evaluation and Appraisal Report based amendments (adding Table 1B and updating all projections, for example) and was also updated in December of 2008 as part of the Water Supply Facility Work Plan amendments (adding Table 1C). According to State Statute, the CIE has been updated annually to reflect the current budget of the City, Palm Beach County, and the Palm Beach County School Board.

The subject CIE update is intended to reflect the current fiscal information from the adopted City FY 2018 budget pertaining to the City's Capital Improvement Program between the years 2018 through 2023. This specifically includes a six (6) year schedule of capital improvements (current fiscal year plus five future years). Also incorporated in the update are the most recent adopted Palm Beach County Road Plan and the Palm Beach County Water Utilities Department Capital Improvement Plan. In addition, this update also includes the latest proposed Capital Improvement Program of the Palm Beach County School District in keeping with Objective 1, Policy d) of the City's Comprehensive Plan Public School Facilities Element.

## IV. Consistency with the Strategic Regional Policy Plan and Chapter 163.3184 F.S.:

Overall, the proposed Comprehensive Plan text amendment is consistent with the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council's Strategic Regional Policy Plan and Chapter 163, F.S.

## V. Staff Recommendation:

Approval of CPA-17-03 through the adoption of Ordinance 2018-12.

## LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY ACTION - July 18, 2018

The Local Planning Agency on a motion made by Commissioner Tronco seconded by Commissioner Edmundson, by a vote of six (7) to zero (0), recommended approval of Comprehensive Plan Amendment CPA-17-03 (CIE Update), as presented by Staff.

## CITY COUNCIL ACTION First Reading - August 20, 2018

The City Council on a motion made by Council Member Pearce and seconded by Council Member Bousquet, voting five (5) to zero (0) approved Comprehensive Plan Amendment CPA-17-03 (CIE Update), on first reading through Ordinance 2018-12, as presented by staff.

CITY COUNCIL ACTION Adoption Hearing - September 6, 2018

Joel Flores, Mayor

Attest:

Joanna Cunningham, City Clerk

# AN ORDINANCE ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT ELEMENT OF THE CITY'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TO REFLECT RECENT CHANGES, AS REQUESTED BY THE PLANNING AND ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING ORDINANCES; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR TRANSMITTAL TO THE DIVISION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE. 

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Greenacres, pursuant to the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, and in accordance with all of its terms and provisions, has prepared and adopted a Comprehensive Plan which has been certified by the State of Florida Division of Community Development; and

WHEREAS, the Local Planning Agency for the City of Greenacres has held a duly advertised public hearing on July 18, 2018, and has recommended approval of petition CPA-17-03, attached as Exhibit "A", to amend the Capital Improvement Element of the City of Greenacres Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Greenacres has conducted a duly advertised public hearing to receive comments on the Capital Improvement Element text amendment proposed by CPA-17-03 and has considered all comments received concerning the proposed amendments to the Plan as required by state law and local ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the proposed amendment is consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan; and is in the best interest of the citizens of the City of Greenacres.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF

## GREENACRES, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Capital Improvement Element of the City of Greenacres is hereby amended as follows:

## Text Amendment \#1:

## II. INFORMATION, INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS:

A. DEFINITIONS (omitted for brevity - no text changes)
B. PUBLIC EDUCATION AND HEALTH SYSTEMS (omitted for brevity - no changes)
C. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS NEEDS

Capital Improvements where necessary and appropriate have been identified throughout the relevant elements of this plan. Table No. 1A depicts required City capital improvements from the Recreation and Open Space Element, Infrastructure Element (Drainage), and Transportation Element necessary to maintain the adopted Level of Service.

Table No. 1A indicates the project by description, the target year wherein the project should commence to maintain service levels and an estimate of the total project costs. All projects have been grouped by the element requiring the capital improvement. Footnotes describe the funding source.

The projects listed in Table No. 1A do not represent the entire capital improvements program for the City. The table merely reflects those improvements necessary to maintain the adopted Level of Service for services the City is fully or partly responsible for as shown elsewhere in the Comprehensive Plan.

| Fable No. 1A: City Of Greenacres Capital Improvements (2017-2022) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2024 | 2022 |
| Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No projects | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Stormwater Management and Sewer Extension |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stormwater Pipe \& Basin Replacement ${ }^{1}$ | 157,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Original Section Drainage Improvements ${ }^{1}$ | 550,008 | 275,119 | 253,654 | 397,708 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Swain Blvd Sewer ${ }^{1}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | 435,000 | 3,325,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bowman Street Improvements ${ }^{3}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | 150,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Dillman Road Sidewatks ${ }^{3}$ | 62,500 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\theta$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| City Sidewalks ${ }^{3}$ | 75,600 | 288,000 | 208,800 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Public Buildings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Safety HQ Renovation² | 223,650 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| TOTAL: | 3,797,250 | 493,500 | 267,000 | 395,000 | 377,000 | 25,000 |


|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No projects | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Stormwater Management and Sewer Extension |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gladiator Lake ${ }^{1}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 1,300,000 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Original Section Drainage Improvement ${ }^{1}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 275,119 | 128,654 | 272,708 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Swain Blvd Sewer ${ }^{1}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 435,000 | 3,325,000 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bowman Street Improvements ${ }^{2}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |
| TOTAL: | $\underline{0}$ | 1,725,119 | 563,654 | 3,597,708 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |

1. The stormwater and drainage enhancements are intended to increase the capacity of these older drainage systems to improve performance and flood protection. Total project costs for FY $2017 \underline{2018}$ through FY2022 2023 are $\$ 1,758,489 \$ 5,611,481$. Grant funds of $\$ 525,767$ of $\$ 433,036.00$ are anticipated to be
provided through Community Development Block Grants over the same period. The Swain Blvd Sewer improvements will provide sewer infrastructure and therefore capacity to the Original Section of the City, which currently only has septic systems.
2. The Bowman Street improvements will improve a portion of the Bowman Street to City street standards where it connects to South Military Trail, an Urban Principal Arterial (UPA) roadway.
3. The Dillman Read sidewalks will provide safe access for neighborhood kids to the Cholee Lake Elementary School. The Bowman Street improvements will improve a portion of the Bowman Street to City street standards where it connects to South Military Trail, an Urban Principal Arterial (UPA) roadway. The City sidewalks project will complete all unfinished links in the City's sidewalk program. These improvements are intended to promote multi-modal access to an elementary school and bring a roadway connection up to level of service standards. Total project costs for FY 2017 through FY 2022 are $\$ 784,900$.

Table No. 1B depicts improvements to the County and State roadway network proposed as part of the Palm Beach County's "Five Year Road Program" and shows projects within or adjacent to the City of Greenacres. The Table is based on the Program as of December 16, 2016 December 19, 2017. The Program is funded through a combination of gasoline taxes, interest, bonds, impact fees, and miscellaneous revenue, all of which are collected and controlled by Palm Beach County.

| Fable No. 1B: Palm Beach County Road Program (2017-2021) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Intersection of L.W. Road and Jog Road | 630,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Purdy LanelForest Hill Elem School to Major Dr | 2,300,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Fotal Greenacres Area Projects | 2,930,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Fotal Program Revenue | 117,819,000 | 43,079,000 | 29,740,000 | 33,809,000 | 5,359,000 |
| Total Program Costs | 117,819,000 | 43,079,000 | 29,740,000 | 33,809,000 | 5,359,000 |


| Table No. 1B: Palm Beach County Road Program (2018-2021) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Description }}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 8}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 9}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 2 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 2 1}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 2 2}}$ |  |
| Intersection of L.W. Road and Jog Road | $\underline{630,000}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |  |
| Total Greenacres Area Projects | $\underline{630,000}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Program Revenue | $\underline{69,317,324}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |  |
| Total Program Costs | $\underline{69,050,000}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |  |

Table 1C depicts improvements shown in the Palm Beach County Water Utilities Department's "Water Supply Work Plan" (Capital Improvement Plan Detail) and includes projects throughout their interconnected system. The table is based on the Capital Improvement Plan Detail as of October 21, 2016 October 21, 2017. All of the projects depicted in Table 1C are $100 \%$ funded by Water Utility User Fees.

| Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Treatment Plant 2 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | 15,021,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Water Treatment Plant 9 | $\underline{\theta}$ | 1,750,000 | 11,560,000 | 5,749,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Water Treatment Plant 11 | 1,101,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| Wellfield Rehabilitation and Construction | 1,563,000 | 8,500,000 | 6,450,000 | 7,052,000 | $\underline{\theta}$ |
| TOTAL: | 31,144,000 | 15,343,000 | 31,248,000 | 21,024,000 | 9,334,000 |


| Description | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Treatment Plant 2 | 5,000,000 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 12,675,000 | 84,700,000 |
| Water Treatment Plant 3 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| Water Treatment Plant 8 | 4,000,000 | $\underline{0}$ | 1,000,000 | 4,000,000 | $\underline{0}$ |
| Water Treatment Plant 9 | 1,750,000 | 9,515,000 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Water Treatment Plant 11 | 2,600,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| System wide Wellfield Rehabilitation and Replacement | 7,250,000 | 8,750,000 | 8,500,000 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL: | 22,600,000 | 22,265,000 | 13,500,000 | 20,675,000 | 88,7000,000 |

Table 8, below in the Goals, Objectives and Policies Section, depicts the School District of Palm Beach County's Capital Improvement program to maintain the adopted level of service.
D. FINANCIAL CAPABILITY AND FISCAL PRACTICES (omitted for brevity - no changes)

## E. AN ASSESSMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES (omitted for brevity -

 no changes)F. ANALYSIS OF ISSUES RELATIVE TO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS (omitted for brevity - no changes)

## Text Amendment \#2 to Table 8 on Pages CIE-26 through CIE-32 (7 pages):

Replace existing Table 8 with revised Table 8 attached as "Exhibit B" (9 pages)

## Section 2. Authorization to Make Changes.

That the Planning and Engineering Department is further authorized to make the necessary changes to the Comprehensive Plan to reflect the above-stated changes.

## Section 3. Repeal of Conflicting Ordinances.

All Ordinances or parts thereof or parts of the Code conflicting or inconsistent with the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby repealed.

## Section 4. Severability.

If any section, part of a section, paragraph, sentence, clause, phrase or word of this Ordinance is for any reason held or declared to be unconstitutional, inoperative or void, such holdings of invalidity shall not affect the remaining portion of this Ordinance and it shall be construed to have been the legislative intent to pass the Ordinance without such unconstitutional, invalid or inoperative part therein, and the remainder of this Ordinance after the exclusion of such part or parts shall be deemed to be held valid as if such part or parts had not been included therein, or if this Ordinance or any of the provisions thereof shall be held inapplicable to any person, group of persons, property,
kind of property, circumstances, or set of circumstances, such holdings shall not affect the applicability thereof to any other person, property or circumstances.

## Section 5. Transmittal to the DCD.

The Planning and Engineering Department shall transmit copies of the amendment and Ordinance to the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO).

## Section 6. Inclusion in the Comprehensive Plan.

It is the intention of the City Council, entered as hereby ordained, that the provisions of this Ordinance shall become and be made a part of the Comprehensive Plan of the City of Greenacres, Florida; that the section(s) of this Ordinance may be renumbered or relettered to accomplish such intention, and that the word "Ordinance" may be changed to "Section", "Article" or another word.

## Section 7. Effective Date.

The provisions of this Ordinance shall become effective upon its adoption.

Passed on the first reading this $\underline{20}^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2018.
PASSED AND ADOPTED on the second reading this $\underline{6}^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2018.

Voted

Joel Flores
Mayor
Attest:

Joanna Cunningham
City Clerk


Paula Bousquet
Deputy Mayor
John Tharp
Council Member, District I




Jonathan G. Pearce
Council Member, District IV

Approved as to Form and Legal Sufficiency:

Glen J. Torcivia
City Attorney

## Exhibit B (9 Pages)

FY 2018-2027 Capital Plan

| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2018 |  | FY 2019 |  | FY 2020 |  | FY 2021 |  | FY 2022 |  | FY 2018-2022 |  | FY 2023-2027 |  | FY 2018-2027 |  |
| State Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter School Capital Outlay | \$ | 3,500,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,500,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,500,000 |
| CO \& DS |  | 4,754,008 |  | 4,754,008 |  | 4,754,008 |  | 4,754,008 |  | 4,754,008 |  | 23,770,040 |  | 23,770,040 |  | 47,540,080 |
| Fuel Tax Proceeds |  | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  | 750,000 |  | 750,000 |  | 1,500,000 |
| PECO - West Tech |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| PECO Bonds - Const. |  | - |  | - |  | 1,095,490 |  | 3,878,932 |  | 4,862,378 |  | 9,836,800 |  | 5,477,450 |  | 15,314,250 |
| PECO Bonds - Maintenance |  | 2,706,935 |  | 2,706,935 |  | 2,706,935 |  | 2,706,935 |  | 2,706,935 |  | 13,534,675 |  | 13,534,675 |  | 27,069,350 |
| Subtotal State Sources |  | 11,110,943 |  | 7,610,943 |  | 8,706,433 |  | 11,489,875 |  | 12,473,321 |  | 51,391,515 |  | 43,532,165 |  | 94,923,680 |
| Local Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Values |  | 190,165,751,792 |  | 202,382,241,337 |  | 213,171,734,936 |  | 224,379,438,228 |  | 235,699,778,274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Capital Improvement (1.5 mil) |  | 273,838,683 |  | 291,430,428 |  | 306,967,298 |  | 323,106,391 |  | 339,407,681 |  | 1,534,750,481 |  | 1,959,551,069 |  | 3,494,301,550 |
| Fund Balance Carried Forward |  | 151,029,101 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 151,029,101 |  | - |  | 151,029,101 |
| Reserve for Future Years |  | 29,162,988 |  | 3,626,574 |  | 810,681 |  | - |  | - |  | 33,600,243 |  | 96,287,946 |  | 129,888,189 |
| Sales Tax Reserves |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Impact Fees |  | 5,390,000 |  | 5,643,000 |  | 5,643,000 |  | 5,643,000 |  | 5,643,000 |  | 27,962,000 |  | 36,752,650 |  | 64,714,650 |
| Interest Income |  | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 5,000,000 |  | 5,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue |  | 11,250 |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,011,250 |  | - |  | 10,011,250 |
| Transfers from General Fund |  | 162,895 |  | - |  | -- |  |  |  |  |  | 162,895 |  | - |  | 162,895 |
| Subtotal Local Sources |  | 460,594,917 |  | 301,700,002 |  | 314,420,979 |  | 329,749,391 |  | 356,050,681 |  | 1,762,515,970 |  | 2,097,591,665 |  | 3,860,107,635 |
| Other Revenue Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of Participation |  | 188,443,432 |  | 33,340,896 |  | 148,828,647 |  | - |  | 93,039,917 |  | 463,652,892 |  | 58,910,040 |  | 522,562,932 |
| Equipment Lease |  | 19,118,440 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 19,118,440 |  | - |  | 19,118,440 |
| Sales Tax Revenue |  | 119,249,758 |  | 122,827,251 |  | 126,512,069 |  | 130,307,431 |  | 134,216,654 |  | 633,113,163 |  | 650,633,058 |  | 1,283,746,221 |
| Short Term Financing |  | 19,599,418 |  | 21,821,239 |  | 117,124 |  | $(1,438,728)$ |  | 49,772,710 |  | 89,871,763 |  | $(89,871,763)$ |  | - |
| Subtotal Other Revenue Sources |  | 346,411,048 |  | 177,989,386 |  | 275,457,840 |  | 128,868,703 |  | 277,029,281 |  | 1,205,756,258 |  | 619,671,335 |  | 1,825,427,593 |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 818,116,908 | \$ | 487,300,331 | \$ | 598,585,252 | \$ | 470,107,969 | \$ | 645,553,283 | \$ | 3,019,663,743 | \$ | 2,760,795,165 | \$ | 5,780,458,908 |

FY 2018-2027 Capital Plan Wednesday, September 6, 2017

| Category |  | oing Projects from <br> FY 2017 |  | FY 2018 New Appropriation |  | FY 2018 Total | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2018-2022 | FY 2023-2027 | FY 2018-2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addition and Remodeling Projects | \$ | 3,333,571 | \$ | 20,825,345 | \$ | 24,158,916 | \$ 43,845,046 | \$ 30,167,650 | \$ | \$ | \$ 98,171,612 | \$ | \$ 98,171,612 |
| Modernization and Replacement Projects |  | 14,461,025 |  | 58,426,452 |  | 72,887,477 | 17,427,066 | 118,140,997 | - |  | 208,455,540 | 141,193,080 | 349,648,620 |
| New Schools |  |  |  | 139,133,120 |  | 139,133,120 |  | - |  | 91,879,917 | 231,013,037 |  | 231,013,037 |
| Subtotal Construction Projects |  | 17,794,596 |  | 218,384,917 |  | 236,179,513 | 61,272,112 | 148,308,647 | - | 91,879,917 | 537,640,189 | 141,193,080 | 678,833,270 |
| Other Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt Service |  | 10,008,000 |  | 161,129,515 |  | 171,137,515 | 163,270,065 | 167,890,065 | 164,450,000 | 169,334,000 | 836,081,645 | 854,000,000 | 1,690,081,646 |
| Site Acquisition |  | 2,054,563 |  | 7,313,360 |  | 9,367,923 | 500,000 | 12,020,000 | 500,000 | 11,660,000 | 34,047,923 | 2,500,000 | 36,547,923 |
| Capital Contingency |  | 54,914,904 |  | - |  | 54,914,904 | - |  | 6,420,719 | 5,244,165 | 66,579,788 | 61,371,062 | 127,950,850 |
| Sales Tax Reserve |  | 17,767,828 |  | - |  | 17,767,828 | - | - | - |  | 17,767,828 | 37,283,835 | 55,051,663 |
| Reserve for Future Years |  | 3,626,574 |  | - |  | 3,626,574 | 810,681 | -- | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | 4,437,255 | 96,287,946 | 100,725,201 |
| Subtotal Other Items |  | 88,371,869 |  | 168,442,875 |  | 256,814,744 | 164,580,746 | 179,910,065 | 171,370,719 | 186,238,165 | 958,914,439 | 1,051,442,843 | 2,010,357,283 |
| Non-Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment |  | 1,511,882 |  | 1,015,000 |  | 2,526,882 | 1,015,000 | 1,015,000 | 1,015,000 | 1,015,000 | 6,586,882 | 5,075,000 | 11,661,882 |
| Facility Renewal |  | 9,824,195 |  | 93,107,263 |  | 102,931,458 | 86,781,440 | 99,556,843 | 115,506,353 | 159,153,314 | 563,929,408 | 402,558,850 | 966,488,258 |
| Facilities |  | 11,897,230 |  | 8,155,244 |  | 20,052,474 | 9,170,000 | 8,170,000 | 11,170,000 | 10,170,000 | 58,732,474 | 50,850,000 | 109,582,474 |
| Security |  | 5,621,409 |  | 3,436,050 |  | 9,057,459 | 3,434,550 | 3,434,550 | 3,434,550 | 3,434,550 | 22,795,659 | 17,172,750 | 39,968,409 |
| Education Technology |  | 172,695 |  | 25,788,440 |  | 25,961,135 | 22,108,000 | 2,420,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 50,529,135 | 49,923,560 | 100,452,695 |
| Technology |  | 8,029,481 |  | 27,514,122 |  | 35,543,602 | 15,139,169 | 17,201,489 | 17,554,052 | 33,727,665 | 119,165,977 | 131,152,927 | 250,318,904 |
| Transportation |  | 7,805,744 |  | 10,297,600 |  | 18,103,344 | 10,297,600 | 10,297,600 | 10,297,600 | 10,297,600 | 59,293,744 | 51,488,000 | 110,781,744 |
| Subtotal Non-Construction Projects |  | 44,862,636 |  | 169,313,718 |  | 214,176,354 | 147,945,759 | 142,095,482 | 158,997,555 | 217,818,129 | 881,033,279 | 708,221,087 | 1,589,254,366 |
| Transfers to General Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter School Capital Outlay - State |  | - |  | 3,500,000 |  | 3,500,000 | - | - | - | - | 3,500,000 | - | 3,500,000 |
| Charter School Capital Outlay - Local |  | - |  | 10,627,573 |  | 10,627,573 | 16,168,472 | 18,301,393 | 20,683,164 | 22,740,707 | 88,521,309 | 143,241,025 | 231,762,334 |
| Property and Flood Insurance |  | - |  | 8,350,000 |  | 8,350,000 | 8,350,000 | 8,350,000 | 8,350,000 | 8,350,000 | 41,750,000 | 41,750,000 | 83,500,000 |
| Equipment Maintenance |  | - |  | 5,679,733 |  | 5,679,733 | 5,248,000 | 5,248,000 | 5,248,000 | 5,248,000 | 26,671,733 | 26,175,000 | 52,846,733 |
| Facilities Maintenance |  | - |  | 46,289,204 |  | 46,289,204 | 47,773,940 | 60,395,940 | 62,346,929 | 68,664,386 | 285,470,399 | 405,702,938 | 691,173,337 |
| Security Maintenance |  | - |  | 2,300,277 |  | 2,300,277 | 2,300,277 | 2,300,277 | 2,300,277 | 2,300,277 | 11,501,385 | 11,420,036 | 22,921,421 |
| Education Technology Maintenance |  | - |  | 2,979,392 |  | 2,979,392 | 2,294,041 | 2,294,041 | 2,294,041 | 2,294,041 | 12,155,556 | 11,720,205 | 23,875,761 |
| Technology Maintenance |  | - |  | 23,308,557 |  | 23,308,557 | 23,465,389 | 23,479,812 | 30,615,689 | 32,118,066 | 132,987,513 | 180,420,976 | 313,408,489 |
| Transportation Maintenance |  | - |  | 7,911,560 |  | 7,911,560 | 7,901,595 | 7,901,595 | 7,901,595 | 7,901,595 | 39,517,940 | 39,507,975 | 79,025,915 |
| Subtotal Transfers to General Fund |  | - |  | 110,946,296 |  | 110,946,296 | 113,501,714 | 128,271,058 | 139,739,695 | 149,617,072 | 642,075,835 | 859,938,155 | 1,502,013,990 |
| Total Capital Budget | \$ | 151,029,101 | \$ | 667,087,807 |  | 818,116,908 | \$ 487,300,331 | \$ 598,585,252 | \$ 470,107,969 | \$ 645,553,283 | \$ 3,019,663,743 | \$ 2,760,795,165 | \$ 5,780,458,908 |

Detailed Budget

Detailed Budget

| Project Name |  | Ongoing jects from FY 2017 |  | Y 2018 New ppropriation |  | otal FY 2018 |  | FY 2019 |  | FY 2020 |  | FY 2021 |  | FY 2022 |  | Y 2018-2022 |  | FY 2023-2027 |  | FY 2018-2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Payments for Bus Lease 2014 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,880,000 | \$ | 2,880,000 | \$ | 2,880,000 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 5,760,000 | \$ |  |  | 5,760,000 |
| Lease Payments for Bus Lease 2015 |  | - |  | 2,929,962 |  | 2,929,962 |  | 2,950,000 |  | 2,950,000 |  | 1,450,0- |  | - |  | 8,829,962 |  | - |  | 8,829,962 |
| Lease Payments for Bus Lease 2016 |  | - |  | 1,436,127 |  | 1,436,127 |  | 1,450,000 |  | 1,450,000 |  | 1,450,000 |  | 14,000- |  | 5,786,127 |  | 707,00, - |  | 5,786,127 |
| Lease Payments for Certificates of Participation |  | - |  | 145,000,000 |  | 145,000,000 |  | 141,000,000 |  | 141,000,000 |  | 141,000,000 |  | 141,000,000 |  | 709,000,000 |  | 707,000,000 |  | 1,416,000,000 |
| Lease Payments for Certificates of Participation new |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,500,000 |  | 21,000,000 |  | 22,000,000 |  | 25,500,000 |  | 82,000,000 |  | 147,000,000 |  | 229,000,000 |
| Lease Payments for Equipment Lease 2015 |  | 10,008-90 |  | 1,475,427 |  | 1,475,427 |  | 1,490,065 |  | 1,490,065 |  | - |  | - |  | 4,455,557 |  |  |  | 4,455,557 |
| Lease Payments for Technology Lease 2017 |  | 10,008,000 |  | 7,408,000 |  | 17,416,000 |  | 163,270, ${ }^{-}$ |  | 107,800, |  | , ${ }^{-}$ |  | 2,834,000 |  | 20,250,000 |  | 854,00, ${ }^{-}$ |  | 20,250,000 |
| Total Required Debt Service |  | 10,008,000 |  | 161,129,515 |  | 171,137,515 |  | 163,270,065 |  | 167,890,065 |  | 164,450,000 |  | 169,334,000 |  | 836,081,645 |  | 854,000,000 |  | 1,690,081,645 |
| Site Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Acquisition |  | 965,923 |  | 5,713,360 |  | 6,679,283 |  | - |  | 11,520,000 |  | - |  | 11,100, ${ }^{-}$ |  | 6,679,283 |  | - |  | 6,679,283 |
| Site Acquisition - ST |  | 1,088,640 |  | 1,100,000 |  | 2,188,640 |  | 500, ${ }^{-}$ |  | 11,520,000 |  | 500, ${ }^{-}$ |  | 11,160,000 |  | 24,868,640 |  | -50,0- |  | 24,868,640 |
| Facility Leases - ST |  | 2,054,563- |  | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  | 2,500,000 |  | 2,500,000 |  | 5,000,000 |
| Total Site Acquisition |  | 2,054,563 |  | 7,313,360 |  | 9,367,923 |  | 500,000 |  | 12,020,000 |  | 500,000 |  | 11,660,000 |  | 34,047,923 |  | 2,500,000 |  | 36,547,923 |
| Contingency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Contingency |  | 54,828,823 |  | - |  | 54,828,823 |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | - |  | 6,420,719 |  | 5,244,165 |  | 66,493,707 |  | 61,371,062 |  | 127,864,769 |
| Reserve for Future Years |  | 3,626,574 |  | - |  | 3,626,574 |  | 810,681 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,437,255 |  | 96,287,946 |  | 100,725,201 |
| Restricted Reserve |  | 86,081 |  | - |  | 86,081 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 86,081 |  |  |  | 86,081 |
| Sales Tax Reserves (ST) |  | 17,767,828 |  | - |  | 17,767,828 |  | 810,68 |  | - |  | 0,420719 |  | 5,244,105- |  | 17,767,828 |  | 37,283,835 |  | 55,051,663 |
| Total Contingency |  | 76,309,306 |  | - |  | 76,309,306 |  | 810,681 |  | - |  | 6,420,719 |  | 5,244,165 |  | 88,784,871 |  | 194,942,843 |  | 283,727,714 |
| Total Other Items | \$ | 88,371,869 | \$ | 168,442,875 | \$ | 256,814,744 | \$ | 164,580,746 | \$ | 179,910,065 | \$ | 171,370,719 | \$ | 186,238,165 | \$ | 958,914,439 |  | 1,051,442,843 |  | 2,010,357,282 |

Detailed Budget

| Project Name | Ongoing Projects from FY 2017 |  | FY 2018 New Appropriation |  | otal FY 2018 |  | FY 2019 |  | FY 2020 |  | FY 2021 |  | FY 2022 |  | 2018-2022 |  | 2023-2027 |  | 2018-2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Construction Projects and Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Required Non-Construction Payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter School Capital Outlay - State | \$ | \$ | 3,500,000 | \$ | 3,500,000 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 3,500,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,500,000 |
| Charter School Capital Outlay - Local |  |  | 10,627,573 |  | 10,627,573 |  | 16,168,472 |  | 18,301,393 |  | 20,683,164 |  | 22,740,707 |  | 88,521,309 |  | 143,241,025 |  | 231,762,334 |
| Property Insurance |  |  | 8,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 |  | 40,000,000 |  | 40,000,000 |  | 80,000,000 |
| Flood Insurance |  |  | 350,000 |  | 350,000 |  | 350,000 |  | 350,000 |  | 350,000 |  | 350,000 |  | 1,750,000 |  | 1,750,000 |  | 3,500,000 |
| Total Required Non-Construction Payments | - |  | 22,477,573 |  | 22,477,573 |  | 24,518,472 |  | 26,651,393 |  | 29,033,164 |  | 31,090,707 |  | 133,771,309 |  | 184,991,025 |  | 318,762,334 |
| Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Projects: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV Equipment Replacement Fund | 16,956 |  | 200,000 |  | 216,956 |  | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 1,016,956 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 2,016,956 |
| Choice Furnishings | 117,984 |  | 125,000 |  | 242,984 |  | 125,000 |  | 125,000 |  | 125,000 |  | 125,000 |  | 742,984 |  | 625,000 |  | 1,367,984 |
| County-Wide Furniture \& Equipment | 1,351,758 |  | 550,000 |  | 1,901,758 |  | 550,000 |  | 550,000 |  | 550,000 |  | 550,000 |  | 4,101,758 |  | 2,750,000 |  | 6,851,758 |
| Musical Instruments | 25,184 |  | 140,000 |  | 165,184 |  | 140,000 |  | 140,000 |  | 140,000 |  | 140,000 |  | 725,184 |  | 700,000 |  | 1,425,184 |
| Subtotal Equipment Capital Projects | 1,511,882 |  | 1,015,000 |  | 2,526,882 |  | 1,015,000 |  | 1,015,000 |  | 1,015,000 |  | 1,015,000 |  | 6,586,882 |  | 5,075,000 |  | 11,661,882 |
| Transfers to General Fund: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer for Copier Maintenance | - |  | 5,234,733 |  | 5,234,733 |  | 5,013,000 |  | 5,013,000 |  | 5,013,000 |  | 5,013,000 |  | 25,286,733 |  | 25,000,000 |  | 50,286,733 |
| Transfer for Equipment Maintenance | - |  | 335,000 |  | 335,000 |  | 125,000 |  | 125,000 |  | 125,000 |  | 125,000 |  | 835,000 |  | 625,000 |  | 1,460,000 |
| Transfer for Library Software Support | - |  | 110,000 |  | 110,000 |  | 110,000 |  | 110,000 |  | 110,000 |  | 110,000 |  | 550,000 |  | 550,000 |  | 1,100,000 |
| Subtotal Equipment Transfers | - |  | 5,679,733 |  | 5,679,733 |  | 5,248,000 |  | 5,248,000 |  | 5,248,000 |  | 5,248,000 |  | 26,671,733 |  | 26,175,000 |  | 52,846,733 |
| Total Equipment | 1,511,882 |  | 6,694,733 |  | 8,206,615 |  | 6,263,000 |  | 6,263,000 |  | 6,263,000 |  | 6,263,000 |  | 33,258,615 |  | 31,250,000 |  | 64,508,615 |
| Facility Renewal Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Projects: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bleachers - ST | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | 263,500 |  | 263,500 |  | 440,200 |  | 1,528,100 |  | 2,704,900 |  | 1,686,400 |  | 6,623,100 |  | 917,500 |  | 7,540,600 |
| Building Envelope - ST | 1,156,290 |  | 7,110,510 |  | 8,266,800 |  | 5,182,340 |  | 7,910,462 |  | 7,680,224 |  | 9,809,930 |  | 38,849,756 |  | 56,839,621 |  | 95,689,377 |
| Compliance - ST | 145,405 |  | 4,874,426 |  | 5,019,831 |  | 6,024,411 |  | 9,035,866 |  | 6,160,869 |  | 10,113,402 |  | 36,354,379 |  | 33,664,814 |  | 70,019,193 |
| Custodial Equipment - ST | - |  | 171,704 |  | 171,704 |  | 227,287 |  | 290,857 |  | 245,180 |  | 332,571 |  | 1,267,599 |  | 2,401,867 |  | 3,669,466 |
| Fencing - ST | 146,947 |  | 591,343 |  | 738,290 |  | 803,688 |  | 1,026,046 |  | 854,170 |  | 970,882 |  | 4,393,076 |  | 3,883,905 |  | 8,276,981 |
| Fire/Life/Safety - ST | 177,260 |  | 4,866,018 |  | 5,043,278 |  | 3,091,913 |  | 3,882,288 |  | 5,335,456 |  | 8,967,041 |  | 26,319,976 |  | 23,617,906 |  | 49,937,882 |
| Furniture Replacement - ST | - |  | 2,349,989 |  | 2,349,989 |  | 1,992,600 |  | 2,409,388 |  | 3,358,344 |  | 3,796,150 |  | 13,906,471 |  | 22,710,277 |  | 36,616,748 |
| HVAC - ST | 500,000 |  | 26,136,658 |  | 26,636,658 |  | 21,940,555 |  | 26,270,568 |  | 28,961,622 |  | 43,866,940 |  | 147,676,343 |  | 67,397,080 |  | 215,073,423 |
| Intercom/Sound Systems - ST | - |  | 2,632,120 |  | 2,632,120 |  | 2,676,008 |  | 2,187,822 |  | 4,092,028 |  | 5,677,576 |  | 17,265,554 |  | 6,815,853 |  | 24,081,407 |
| Interior Repair/Improvement - ST | - |  | 14,665,283 |  | 14,665,283 |  | 13,827,806 |  | 15,043,496 |  | 18,637,562 |  | 21,843,471 |  | 84,017,618 |  | 67,824,288 |  | 151,841,906 |
| Lighting - ST | 548,386 |  | 2,695,643 |  | 3,244,029 |  | 4,152,419 |  | 6,320,789 |  | 6,916,277 |  | 9,966,509 |  | 30,600,023 |  | 15,252,079 |  | 45,852,102 |
| Parking Lots/Roads - ST | 870,812 |  | 1,341,213 |  | 2,212,025 |  | 1,766,431 |  | 3,491,089 |  | 3,523,258 |  | 3,958,181 |  | 14,950,984 |  | 16,305,861 |  | 31,256,845 |
| Playfields and Exterior Repairs/Improvements - ST | 617,200 |  | 2,090,080 |  | 2,707,280 |  | 2,349,360 |  | 2,792,020 |  | 3,875,120 |  | 4,016,588 |  | 15,740,368 |  | 14,131,640 |  | 29,872,008 |
| Plumbing - ST | - |  | 12,786,180 |  | 12,786,180 |  | 9,762,985 |  | 11,462,836 |  | 12,737,243 |  | 16,845,228 |  | 63,594,472 |  | 36,855,543 |  | 100,450,015 |
| Roofs - ST | 5,661,896 |  | 10,532,596 |  | 16,194,492 |  | 12,543,437 |  | 5,905,216 |  | 10,424,100 |  | 17,302,445 |  | 62,369,690 |  | 33,940,616 |  | 96,310,306 |
| Total Facility Renewal Projects | \$ 9,824,195 | \$ | 93,107,263 | \$ | 102,931,458 | \$ | 86,781,440 | \$ | 99,556,843 | \$ | 115,506,353 | \$ | 159,153,314 | \$ | 563,929,408 | \$ | 402,558,850 | \$ | 966,488,258 |

Detailed Budget

| Project Name |  | Ongoing jects from FY 2017 |  | FY 2018 New |  | tal FY 2018 |  | FY 2019 |  | FY 2020 |  | FY 2021 |  | FY 2022 |  | 2018-2022 |  | 2023-2027 |  | 2018-2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Construction Projects and Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Facility Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Projects: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building Envelope | \$ | 1,442,921 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,442,921 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 1,442,921 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,442,921 |
| Compliance |  | 623,568 |  |  |  | 623,568 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 623,568 |  |  |  | 623,568 |
| Custodial Equipment |  | 320,353 |  |  |  | 320,353 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 320,353 |  |  |  | 320,353 |
| Environmental Services |  | 108,368 |  | 2,000,000 |  | 2,108,368 |  | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,000 |  | 10,108,368 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 20,108,368 |
| Fire Life Safety |  | 2,256,606 |  |  |  | 2,256,606 |  | 1,200,000 |  | 1,200,000 |  | 1,200,000 |  | 1,200,000 |  | 7,056,606 |  | 6,000,000 |  | 13,056,606 |
| Flood / Fire / Tornado |  | 866,434 |  |  |  | 866,434 |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | 866,434 |  |  |  | 866,434 |
| Forest High School Bleachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,000,000 |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 1,000,000 |  |  |  | 1,000,000 |
| HVAC |  | 10,354 |  |  |  | 10,354 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,354 |  |  |  | 10,354 |
| HVAC - WT Dwyer HS |  | 1,943,287 |  |  |  | 1,943,287 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,000,000 |  | - |  | 3,943,287 |  |  |  | 3,943,287 |
| Interlocal Agreements |  | 146,622 |  |  |  | 146,622 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146,622 |  |  |  | 146,622 |
| Interlocal Agreements-JFES sewer |  | - |  | 350,000 |  | 350,000 |  |  |  | -70000 |  |  |  |  |  | 350,000 |  |  |  | 350,000 |
| Media Centers |  |  |  | 2,570,000 |  | 2,570,000 |  | 2,970,000 |  | 2,970,000 |  | 2,970,000 |  | 2,970,000 |  | 14,450,000 |  | 14,850,000 |  | 29,300,000 |
| Minor Projects |  | 2,510,911 |  | 2,000,000 |  | 4,510,911 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 2,000,000 |  | 10,010,911 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 20,010,911 |
| Portable Leasing |  | 85,721 |  | 15,000 |  | 100,721 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100,721 |  |  |  | 100,721 |
| Relocatables - Relocation |  | 876,967 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 1,876,967 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 2,000,000 |  | 7,376,967 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 17,376,967 |
| Relocatables - Walkway Canopies |  | 421,341 |  |  |  | 421,341 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 421,341 |  |  |  | 421,341 |
| Roofs |  | 1,164 |  |  |  | 1,164 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,164 |  |  |  | 1,164 |
| School Center Funds |  | 150,700 |  | 172,145 |  | 322,845 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 322,845 |  |  |  | 322,845 |
| Storm Recovery (FEMA) |  | 116,522 |  |  |  | 116,522 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 116,522 |  |  |  | 116,522 |
| Traffic Improvements |  | 15,391 |  | 48,099 |  | 63,490 |  | -17000 |  | 17000 |  | 11,170,000 |  |  |  | 63,490 |  |  |  | 63,490 |
| Subtotal Other Facility Capital Projects |  | 11,897,230 |  | 8,155,244 |  | 20,052,474 |  | 9,170,000 |  | 8,170,000 |  | 11,170,000 |  | 10,170,000 |  | 58,732,474 |  | 50,850,000 |  | 109,582,474 |
| Transfers to General Fund: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer for Building Envelope Maintenance |  |  |  | 1,219,520 |  | 1,219,520 |  | 2,219,520 |  | 2,219,520 |  | 2,219,520 |  | 2,219,520 |  | 10,097,600 |  | 11,097,600 |  | 21,195,200 |
| Transfer for Capital Project Support |  |  |  | 462,514 |  | 462,514 |  | 285,268 |  | 285,268 |  | 285,268 |  | 285,268 |  | 1,603,586 |  | 1,426,340 |  | 3,029,926 |
| Transfer for Environmental Control |  | - |  | 1,019,781 |  | 1,019,781 |  | 1,009,899 |  | 1,009,899 |  | 1,009,899 |  | 1,009,899 |  | 5,059,377 |  | 5,049,495 |  | 10,108,872 |
| Transfer for Fire/Life/Safety |  |  |  | 1,350,280 |  | 1,350,280 |  | 2,064,280 |  | 1,564,280 |  | 1,564,280 |  | 1,564,280 |  | 8,107,400 |  | 7,821,400 |  | 15,928,800 |
| Transfer for Hurricane Prep |  |  |  | 18,900 |  | 18,900 |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | 218,900 |  | 250,000 |  | 468,900 |
| Transfer for HVAC Maintenance |  |  |  | 3,886,560 |  | 3,886,560 |  | 3,686,560 |  | 3,686,560 |  | 3,686,560 |  | 3,686,560 |  | 18,632,800 |  | 12,180,300 |  | 30,813,100 |
| Transfer for ITV Towers |  |  |  | 96,000 |  | 96,000 |  | 106,000 |  | 106,000 |  | 106,000 |  | 106,000 |  | 520,000 |  | 530,000 |  | 1,050,000 |
| Transfer for Maintenance of Fulton Holland |  |  |  | 458,162 |  | 458,162 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 458,162 |  |  |  | 458,162 |
| Transfer for Maintenance of Facilities |  |  |  | 34,001,015 |  | 34,001,015 |  | 35,128,169 |  | 48,250,169 |  | 50,201,158 |  | 56,518,615 |  | 224,099,126 |  | 351,226,583 |  | 575,325,709 |
| Transfer for Maintenance Projects |  |  |  | 1,006,970 |  | 1,006,970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,006,970 |  |  |  | 1,006,970 |
| Transfer for Preventative Maintenance |  | - |  | 2,769,502 |  | 2,769,502 |  | 3,224,244 |  | 3,224,244 |  | 3,224,244 |  | 3,224,244 |  | 15,666,478 |  | 16,121,220 |  | 31,787,698 |
| Subtotal Facilities Transfers |  | - |  | 46,289,204 |  | 46,289,204 |  | 47,773,940 |  | 60,395,940 |  | 62,346,929 |  | 68,664,386 |  | 285,470,399 |  | 405,702,938 |  | 691,173,337 |
| Total Facilities | \$ | 11,897,230 | \$ | 54,444,448 | \$ | 66,341,678 | \$ | 56,943,940 | \$ | 68,565,940 | \$ | 73,516,929 | \$ | 78,834,386 | \$ | 344,202,873 | \$ | 456,552,938 | \$ | 800,755,811 |

Detailed Budget

| Project Name |  | Ongoing Projects from FY 2017 |  | FY 2018 New Appropriation |  | otal FY 2018 |  | FY 2019 |  | FY 2020 |  | FY 2021 |  | FY 2022 |  | 2018-2022 |  | 2023-2027 |  | 2018-2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Construction Projects and Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Projects: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Security Projects - ST | \$ | -804, ${ }^{-}$ | \$ | 3,124,750 | \$ | 3,124,750 | \$ | 3,094,750 | \$ | 3,074,750 | \$ | 3,064,750 | \$ | 3,064,750 | \$ | 15,423,750 | \$ | 15,303,750 | \$ | 30,727,500 |
| School Facility Security Projects |  | 4,864,106 |  |  |  | 4,864,106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,864,106 |  |  |  | 4,864,106 |
| School Security Projects |  | 757,304 |  | 311,300 |  | 1,068,604 |  | 339,800 |  | 359,800 |  | 369,800 |  | 369,800 |  | 2,507,804 |  | 1,869,000 |  | 4,376,804 |
| Subtotal Security Projects |  | 5,621,409 |  | 3,436,050 |  | 9,057,459 |  | 3,434,550 |  | 3,434,550 |  | 3,434,550 |  | 3,434,550 |  | 22,795,659 |  | 17,172,750 |  | 39,968,409 |
| Transfers to General Fund: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer for Security |  | - |  | 2,300,277 |  | 2,300,277 |  | 2,300,277 |  | 2,300,277 |  | 2,300,277 |  | 2,300,277 |  | 11,501,385 |  | 11,420,036 |  | 22,921,421 |
| Subtotal Security Transfers |  | - |  | 2,300,277 |  | 2,300,277 |  | 2,300,277 |  | 2,300,277 |  | 2,300,277 |  | 2,300,277 |  | 11,501,385 |  | 11,420,036 |  | 22,921,421 |
| Total Security |  | 5,621,409 |  | 5,736,327 |  | 11,357,736 |  | 5,734,827 |  | 5,734,827 |  | 5,734,827 |  | 5,734,827 |  | 34,297,044 |  | 28,592,786 |  | 62,889,830 |
| Educational Technology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Projects: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Classroom Technology - ST |  | - |  | 6,650,000 |  | 6,650,000 |  | 22,088,000 |  | 2,400,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 31,138,000 |  | 49,823,560 |  | 80,961,560 |
| Classroom Technology - ST |  | - |  | 19,118,440 |  | 19,118,440 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 19,118,440 |  |  |  | 19,118,440 |
| Classroom Technology |  | 97,946 |  |  |  | 97,946 |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | 20, |  | 20,00- |  | 97,946 |  | 100,00- |  | 97,946 |
| Digital Divide |  | 73,067 |  | 20,000 |  | 93,067 |  | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  | 173,067 |  | 100,000 |  | 273,067 |
| Technology Tools |  | 1,682 |  | -788, |  | 1,682 |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | - |  | - |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | 1,682 |  |  |  | 1,682 |
| Subtotal Education Technology Projects |  | 172,695 |  | 25,788,440 |  | 25,961,135 |  | 22,108,000 |  | 2,420,000 |  | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  | 50,529,135 |  | 49,923,560 |  | 100,452,695 |
| Transfers to General Fund: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer for Blackboard Engage (Edline/Gradequick) |  | - |  | 895,054 |  | 895,054 |  | - |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | - |  | - |  | 895,054 |  | - |  | 895,054 |
| Transfer for Equipment Maintenance |  | - |  | 300,000 |  | 300,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 1,100,000 |  | 1,250,000 |  | 2,350,000 |
| Transfers for Data Warehouse (9054) |  | - |  | 1,595,706 |  | 1,595,706 |  | 1,905,409 |  | 1,905,409 |  | 1,905,409 |  | 1,905,409 |  | 9,217,342 |  | 9,527,045 |  | 18,744,387 |
| Transfers for Data Warehouse (9049, 9229) |  | - |  | 188,632 |  | 188,632 |  | 188,632 |  | 188,632 |  | 188,632 |  | 188,632 |  | 943,160 |  | 943,160 |  | 1,886,320 |
| Subtotal Educational Technology Transfers |  | - |  | 2,979,392 |  | 2,979,392 |  | 2,294,041 |  | 2,294,041 |  | 2,294,041 |  | 2,294,041 |  | 12,155,556 |  | 11,720,205 |  | 23,875,761 |
| Total Educational Technology | \$ | 172,695 | \$ | 28,767,832 | \$ | 28,940,527 | \$ | 24,402,041 | \$ | 4,714,041 | \$ | 2,314,041 | \$ | 2,314,041 | \$ | 62,684,691 | \$ | 61,643,765 | \$ | 124,328,456 |

Detailed Budget

| Project Name |  | ngoing ects from Y 2017 |  | 2018 New propriation |  | tal FY 2018 |  | FY 2019 |  | FY 2020 |  | FY 2021 |  | FY 2022 |  | Y 2018-2022 |  | Y 2023-2027 |  | 2018-2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Construction Projects and Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Projects: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer Refresh | \$ | 44,443 | \$ | 7,535,479 | \$ | 7,579,921 | \$ | 6,842,760 | \$ | 6,842,760 | \$ | 9,228,746 | \$ | 9,358,858 | \$ | 39,853,045 | \$ | 74,160,570 | \$ | 114,013,615 |
| Cyber \& Network Security |  | 893,182 |  | 1,725,000 |  | 2,618,182 |  | 1,700,000 |  | 5,700,000 |  | 3,700,000 |  | 700,000 |  | 14,418,182 |  | 15,375,000 |  | 29,793,182 |
| Data Center Optimization |  | 659,773 |  | 157,500 |  | 817,273 |  | 165,375 |  | 173,644 |  | 685,966 |  | 675,000 |  | 2,517,258 |  | 2,003,799 |  | 4,521,057 |
| Back-End Infrastructure - ST |  | 938,909 |  | 260,200 |  | 1,199,109 |  | 550,000 |  | - |  |  |  | 1,300,000 |  | 3,049,109 |  | 7,489,800 |  | 10,538,909 |
| Disk Storage - Infrastructure |  |  |  | 8,789,800 |  | 8,789,800 |  | 250,000 |  | 1,050,000 |  | 800,000 |  |  |  | 10,889,800 |  | 5,510,200 |  | 16,400,000 |
| Enterprise Software |  | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 400,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 250,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 1,250,000 |  | 1,250,000 |  | 2,500,000 |
| Hardware/Software |  | 73,500 |  | 77,175 |  | 150,675 |  | 81,034 |  | 85,085 |  | 89,340 |  | 93,807 |  | 499,941 |  | 538,558 |  | 1,038,499 |
| Wireless Infrastructure - ST |  | 75,331 |  | 1,643,968 |  | 1,719,299 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,40- |  | 1,719,299 |  |  |  | 1,719,299 |
| School Network Routers \& Switches - ST |  | 535,104 |  |  |  | 535,104 |  | -200- |  | 1,150,000 |  | 1,150,000 |  | 5,439,700 |  | 5,974,804 |  | 5,760,300 |  | 11,735,104 |
| Networks |  | 1,939,513 |  | 1,025,000 |  | 2,964,513 |  | 2,200,000 |  | 1,150,000 |  | 1,150,000 |  | 9,460,300 |  | 16,924,813 |  | 8,864,700 |  | 25,789,513 |
| School Phone Systems \& PBX - ST |  | - |  | 4,500,000 |  | 4,500,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,400,000 |  | 5,900,000 |  | 100,000 |  | 6,000,000 |
| Phone System Upgrade |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | 3,100,000 |  | 3,400,000 |  | 400,000 |  | 3,800,000 |
| School \& District Servers - ST |  | 172,056 |  | 100,000 |  | 272,056 |  | 399,200 |  | 300,000 |  | - |  | 500,000 |  | 1,471,256 |  | 1,250,800 |  | 2,722,056 |
| Servers |  | 50,824 |  |  |  | 50,824 |  | 1,150,800 |  | 50,000 |  | 100,000 |  |  |  | 1,351,624 |  | 949,200 |  | 2,300,824 |
| Student System Replacement |  | 2,446,846 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 3,946,846 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 9,946,846 |  | 7,500,000 |  | 17,446,846 |
| Subtotal Technology Projects |  | 8,029,481 |  | 27,514,122 |  | 35,543,602 |  | 15,139,169 |  | 17,201,489 |  | 17,554,052 |  | 33,727,665 |  | 119,165,977 |  | 131,152,927 |  | 250,318,904 |
| Transfers to General Fund: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer for Application Systems |  | - |  | 2,244,988 |  | 2,244,988 |  | 2,186,823 |  | 2,201,246 |  | 2,781,442 |  | 2,929,241 |  | 12,343,740 |  | 16,687,360 |  | 29,031,100 |
| Transfer for Business Operating Systems |  | - |  | 1,763,984 |  | 1,763,984 |  | 1,852,842 |  | 1,852,842 |  | 2,364,748 |  | 2,482,986 |  | 10,317,402 |  | 13,967,725 |  | 24,285,127 |
| Transfer for CAFM |  | - |  | 984,549 |  | 984,549 |  | 746,719 |  | 746,719 |  | 1,075,156 |  | 1,151,017 |  | 4,704,160 |  | 6,751,356 |  | 11,455,516 |
| Mass Notification System |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | 163,875 |  | 163,875 |  | 163,875 |  | 163,875 |  | 655,500 |  | 819,375 |  | 1,474,875 |
| WCMS Web Content Mgmt Sys |  | - |  | 193,156 |  | 193,156 |  | 129,156 |  | 129,156 |  | 129,156 |  | 129,156 |  | 709,780 |  | 645,780 |  | 1,355,560 |
| Mobile App |  | - |  | 68,300 |  | 68,300 |  | 49,300 |  | 49,300 |  | 49,300 |  | 49,300 |  | 265,500 |  | 246,500 |  | 512,000 |
| Transfer for ERP |  | - |  | 3,549,335 |  | 3,549,335 |  | 3,506,451 |  | 3,506,451 |  | 4,475,219 |  | 4,698,980 |  | 19,736,436 |  | 26,433,522 |  | 46,169,958 |
| Transfer for IT Security |  | - |  | 811,275 |  | 811,275 |  | 1,081,628 |  | 1,081,628 |  | 1,402,142 |  | 1,476,173 |  | 5,852,846 |  | 8,353,101 |  | 14,205,947 |
| Transfer for Portal Project |  | - |  | 51,558 |  | 51,558 |  | 56,330 |  | 56,330 |  | 71,893 |  | 75,488 |  | 311,599 |  | 424,645 |  | 736,244 |
| Transfer for Project Management Initiative |  | - |  | 38,102 |  | 38,102 |  | 436,421 |  | 436,421 |  | 436,421 |  | 436,421 |  | 1,783,786 |  | 2,182,105 |  | 3,965,891 |
| Transfer for School Center Admin Technology |  | - |  | 348,812 |  | 348,812 |  | 618,323 |  | 618,323 |  | 789,154 |  | 828,612 |  | 3,203,224 |  | 4,661,254 |  | 7,864,478 |
| Transfer for Secondary Tech Maintenance |  | - |  | 3,199,014 |  | 3,199,014 |  | 3,097,655 |  | 3,097,655 |  | 3,953,480 |  | 4,151,154 |  | 17,498,958 |  | 23,351,799 |  | 40,850,757 |
| Transfer for Strategic Initiatives |  | - |  | 317,789 |  | 317,789 |  | 317,789 |  | 317,789 |  | 317,789 |  | 317,789 |  | 1,588,945 |  | 1,588,945 |  | 3,177,890 |
| Transfer for System Lifecycle Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endpoint Security |  | - |  | 636,410 |  | 636,410 |  | 975,000 |  | 975,000 |  | 1,244,375 |  | 1,306,593 |  | 5,137,378 |  | 7,350,077 |  | 12,487,455 |
| Transfer for Technology Infrastructure |  | - |  | 9,101,285 |  | 9,101,285 |  | 8,247,077 |  | 8,247,077 |  | 11,361,539 |  | 11,921,281 |  | 48,878,259 |  | 66,957,432 |  | 115,835,691 |
| Subtotal Technology Transfers |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | 23,308,557 |  | 23,308,557 |  | 23,465,389 |  | 23,479,812 |  | 30,615,689 |  | 32,118,066 |  | 132,987,513 |  | 180,420,976 |  | 313,408,489 |
| Total Technology | \$ | 8,029,481 | \$ | 50,822,679 | \$ | 58,852,159 | \$ | 38,604,558 | \$ | 40,681,301 | \$ | 48,169,741 | \$ | 65,845,731 | \$ | 252,153,490 | \$ | 311,573,903 | \$ | 563,727,393 |

Detailed Budget

| Project Name |  | Ongoing jects from FY 2017 |  | Y 2018 New |  | tal FY 2018 |  | FY 2019 |  | FY 2020 |  | FY 2021 |  | FY 2022 |  | Y 2018-2022 |  | Y 2023-2027 | FY 2018-2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Construction Projects and Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Projects: School Buses-ST | \$ | 4,460,773 | \$ | 9,097,600 | \$ | 13,558,373 | \$ | 9,097,600 | \$ | 9,097,600 | \$ | 9,097,600 | \$ | 9,097,600 | \$ | 49,948,773 | \$ | 36,390,400 | 86,339,173 |
| School Buses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ra,097,600 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 86,097,600 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Support Vehicles - ST |  | 1,200,000 |  | 1,200,000 |  | 2,400,000 |  | 1,200,000 |  | 1,200,000 |  | 1,200,000 |  | 1,200,000 |  | 7,200,000 |  | 4,800,000 | 12,000,000 |
| Support Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,200,000 | 1,200,000 |
| Support Vehicles (Reserve) |  | 1,404,637 |  |  |  | 1,404,637 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,404,637 |  |  | 1,404,637 |
| Transportation Equipment and Furnishings |  | 740,333 |  |  |  | 740,333 |  | 10,297,600 |  | 10,297,600 |  | 10,297,600 |  | 10,297,600 |  | 740,333 |  |  | 740,333 |
| Subtotal Transportation Projects |  | 7,805,744 |  | 10,297,600 |  | 18,103,344 |  | 10,297,600 |  | 10,297,600 |  | 10,297,600 |  | 10,297,600 |  | 59,293,744 |  | 51,488,000 | 110,781,744 |
| Transfers to General Fund: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer for Contracted Transportation |  |  |  | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 7,500,000 |  | 7,500,000 | 15,000,000 |
| Transfer for Transportation Maintenance |  |  |  | 6,411,560 |  | 6,411,560 |  | 6,401,595 |  | 6,401,595 |  | 6,401,595 |  | 6,401,595 |  | 32,017,940 |  | 32,007,975 | 64,025,915 |
| Subtotal Transportation Transfers |  | - |  | 7,911,560 |  | 7,911,560 |  | 7,901,595 |  | 7,901,595 |  | 7,901,595 |  | 7,901,595 |  | 39,517,940 |  | 39,507,975 | 79,025,915 |
| Total Transportation |  | 7,805,744 |  | 18,209,160 |  | 26,014,904 |  | 18,199,195 |  | 18,199,195 |  | 18,199,195 |  | 18,199,195 |  | 98,811,684 |  | 90,995,975 | 189,807,659 |
| Sub-total Non-Construction Projects |  | 44,862,636 |  | 169,313,718 |  | 214,176,354 |  | 147,945,759 |  | 142,095,482 |  | 158,997,555 |  | 217,818,129 |  | 881,033,279 |  | 708,221,087 | 1,589,254,366 |
| Sub-total Non-Construction Transfers |  |  |  | 110,946,296 |  | 110,946,296 |  | 113,501,714 |  | 128,271,058 |  | 139,739,695 |  | 149,617,072 |  | 642,075,835 |  | 859,938,155 | 1,502,013,990 |
| Total Non-Construction Projects \& Transfers | \$ | 44,862,636 | \$ | 280,260,014 | \$ | 325,122,650 | \$ | 261,447,473 | \$ | 270,366,540 | \$ | 298,737,250 | \$ | 367,435,201 | \$ | 1,523,109,114 |  | 1,568,159,242 | \$ 3,091,268,356 |
| Total Capital Budget | \$ | 151,029,101 | \$ | 667,087,807 |  | 818,116,908 | \$ | 487,300,331 | \$ | 598,585,252 | \$ | 470,107,969 | \$ | 645,553,283 |  | 3,019,663,743 |  | 2,760,795,165 | \$ 5,780,458,908 |

## CITY OF GREENACRES

Council Agenda Memo
2018.08DM2.05

TO: Mayor and City Council<br>THROUGH: Andrea McCue, City Manger<br>FROM: James McInnis, Director of Finance<br>SUBJECT: Resolution 2018-27 Final Assessment Rate<br>DATE: September 6, 2018

## Background:

On August 20, 2018, Ordinance 2018-15 Greenacres Solid Waste Collection Services Assessment was adopted on second reading, authoring the imposition of an assessment on the tax bill for solid waste collection and recycling charges. The assessment will take the place of the semi-annual direct billing of solid waste and recycling charges by the City for more than 25 years.

## Analysis:

This resolution will formally adopt the solid waste assessment roll to be provided to the property appraiser as necessary for inclusion on the 2018 tax bill and set the assessment rates for the fiscal 2019 solid waste collection and recycling charges. The Greenacres solid waste assessment is billed in advance for solid waste collection and recycling services for the period of October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019.

The Greenacres assessment for solid waste collection and recycling is distinguishable from the Solid Waste Authority's assessment on the tax bill for solid waste disposal costs at County landfills.

Greenacres solid waste assessment rates are designed to generate the revenues necessary to cover the contractual and administrative costs of providing the solid waste and recycling collection services. The City uses three (3) rate tiers based on the type of service provided and the frequency of collection. Curbside service rates are higher than containerized rates due to the increased number of individual stops at each individual residence to provide collection services. Multi-family developments using containerized services result in efficiencies and cost savings for the City's contracted waste hauler that are passed on to those property owners resulting in lower rates. The following annual residential rates are proposed:

|  |  | With 4\% |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fiscal 2019 Annual Residential Collection Rates |  | Proposed | Tax Collector |
| Assessed On 2018 Tax Bill) | Rates | Discount |  |
| Curbside pickup | 104.40 | 100.20 |  |
| Containerized pickup (dumpsters) $2 x /$ week | 69.70 | 66.90 |  |
| Containerized pickup (dumpsters) $3 x /$ week | 9.20 | 88.50 |  |

The total assessment for a residential duplex would be calculated by multiplying the number of residential units in the duplex by the Annual assessment rate, as shown in the example below:

Parcel \#: $\quad$ 18-42-43-27-01-001-0001 Address: $\quad 123$ Greenacres Lane, Greenacres FL 33467
Number of residential units $\quad 2 \quad \mathrm{x} \quad$ Annual garbage rate $\$ \quad \$ 104.40=$ Total assessment $\$ \quad \$ 208.80$

## Financial:

The estimated cost of residential solid waste collection and recycling services for 2019, including administrative and franchise fees, is $\$ 1,525,671.82$, to be covered by residential solid waste assessments totaling \$1,525,672.40. (See Exhibits A \& B of Resolution 201827). The assessed fees for residential solid waste collections services increased by $5.7 \%-$ $7.0 \%$ in 2019, primarily to cover discounts offered by the Tax Collector for early payment of tax bills. The discounted rate increase is $1.4 \%$ to $2.5 \%$, in line with prior years rate increases.

## Legal:

Resolution 2018-27 has been prepared in accordance with applicable City regulations.

## Staff Recommendation:

Council approval of Resolution 2018-27 to adopt the solid waste assessment roll and set the final assessment rates for the solid waste assessment on the 2018 tax bill that covers the solid waste collection and recycling services for the period beginning October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019, the City's 2018-19 fiscal year.


James McInnis
Director of Finance

Attachments:
Exhibit A - Residential Solid Waste Assessment Rate Schedule with Rate History 2016-2019
Exhibit B - Residential Solid Waste Collections Services Cost Schedule

## RESOLUTION NO. 2018-27


#### Abstract

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA, RELATING TO THE PROVISION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES IN THE CITY OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR PURPOSE AND DEFINITIONS; PROVIDING FOR LEGISLATIVE DETERMINATIONS; APPROVING THE ASSESSMENT RATE FOR RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING ON OCTOBER 1, 2018; IMPOSING A RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES ASSESSMENT AGAINST ASSESSED PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE CITY OF GREENACRES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING ON OCTOBER 1, 2018; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, City of Greenacres Ordinance No. 2018-15, adopted on August 20, 2018, provides for the home rule authority of the City to impose Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessments against residential property located within the City, and provides findings of special benefit to real property as a result of such services; and,

WHEREAS, the City has in place a Solid Waste and Recycling Collection Contract with Advanced Disposal Solid Waste Southeast, Inc. (hereinafter "Advanced Disposal"), pursuant to which the City provides Residential Solid Waste Collection Services to; among others, all residential properties that receive Residential Solid Waste Collection Services within the City; and,

WHEREAS, the City believes it is in the best interests of the residents and residential property owners to collect funds for the costs of the City's Residential Solid Waste Collection Services to all residential units that receive such services from the City, through its Collection Contract, by the levy and collection of a solid waste assessment, as such will eliminate direct semi-annual or monthly billing and charges to residents, permit the payment for the services on an annual basis along with property taxes and
other special assessments, reduce the administrative costs of the Residential Solid Waste Collection Program to the City, and ensure that all properties that receive Residential Solid Waste Collection Services from the City through the Collection Contract pay for such so that no property is over- charged by virtue of the failure of other properties to pay for such; and,

WHEREAS, Residential Solid Waste Collection Services provided by the City through its Collection Contract as defined hereinafter provide the requisite special benefit to assessed property such that they may be funded through a solid waste assessment; and,

WHEREAS, the City has reviewed the budget for Residential Solid Waste Collection Services, for residential units that receive Residential Solid Waste Collection Services by the City through the Collection Contract, to ensure that the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessment meets the legal requirements for special benefit and fair apportionment; and,

WHEREAS, the City determines that it is fair and equitable to levy and collect a non-ad valorem solid waste assessment to fund the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services provided by the City through its Collection Contract, consistent with the methodology and allocation as provided hereinafter; and,

WHEREAS, the City Commission desires to impose a Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessment within the City for the Fiscal Year beginning on October 1, 2018 using the tax bill collection method; and,

WHEREAS, in order to impose the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment for the initial year of the assessment, the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2018, the Ordinance requires the City Council to adopt a Final Assessment Resolution during its
budget adoption process, which establishes the rate of assessment and approves the Assessment Roll for the upcoming Fiscal Year, with such amendments as the City Council deems appropriate, after hearing comments and objections of all interested parties; and,

WHEREAS, the updated Assessment Roll has heretofore been made available for inspection by the public, as required by the Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, notice of a public hearing has been published and mailed, which provided notice to all interested persons of an opportunity to be heard; and,

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on September 6, 2018, and comments and objections of all interested parties have been heard and considered;

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENACRES, FLORIDA, THAT:

Section 1. Recitals. The foregoing "WHEREAS" clauses are hereby ratified and confirmed by the City Council and incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 2. Authority. This Resolution is adopted pursuant to the provisions of the Ordinance and sections 166.021 and 166.041, Florida Statutes, and other applicable provisions of law.

Section 3. Definitions. All capitalized words and terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Ordinance. Unless the context indicates otherwise, words imparting the singular number, include the plural number, and vice versa. As used in this Resolution, the following terms shall have the following meanings unless the context hereof otherwise requires:
"Assessment Coordinator" means the person designated by the City to
administer the City's Residential Solid Waste Collection Services, or such person's designee.
"Collection Contract" means that Amended and Restated Solid Waste and Recycling Collection Contract by and between the City and Advanced Disposal dated June 4, 2018, as may be amended from time to time.
"Cost Apportionment" means the apportionment of the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessed Cost among all assessed properties that receive Residential Solid Waste Collection Services pursuant to the apportionment methodology described in Section 8 of this Final Assessment Resolution.
"Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessment Rate Schedule" the rate schedule attached hereto as Appendix " A " and hereby incorporated herein by reference, and also the schedule of costs attached hereto as Exhibit "B" specifying the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessed Rates and Costs determined in Section 9 of this Final Assessment Resolution and the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessments established in Section 9 of this Final Assessment Resolution.
"Land Use Codes" mean the solid waste assessment roll use codes assigned by the Property Appraiser to Tax Parcels within the City.
"Residential Property" means those Tax Parcels with a Code Description designating a residential use within the Land Use Codes that receive residential Solid Waste Collection Services by the City through the Collection Contract, including that multi-family residential properties that receive residential Solid Waste Collection Services using garbage can or containerized collection services.
"Tax Parcel" means a parcel of property located within the City to which the Property Appraiser has assigned a distinct ad valorem property tax identification number.

Section 4. Provisions and Funding of Residential Solid Waste Collection Services.
(A) Upon the imposition of the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessment for Residential Solid Waste Collection Services upon assessed property located within the City, the City shall provide Residential Solid Waste Collection Services to such assessed property through the Collection Contract. The cost to provide such Residential Solid Waste Collection Services to Assess Properties, as described herein, shall be funded from the proceeds of the Residential Solid Waste collection Services Assessment.
(B) It is hereby ascertained, determined, and declared that each parcel of assessed property located within the City will be benefited by the City's provision of Residential Solid Waste Collection Services in an amount not less than the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessment imposed against such parcel, computed in the manner set forth in this Final Assessment Resolution.

## Section 5. Imposition and Computation of Residential Solid Waste Collection

Services Assessments. Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessments shall be imposed against all assessed properties that receive Residential Solid Waste Collection Services, as provided herein. The Cost Apportionment described herein is
approved and adopted as the methodology to impose and compute the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessment.

Section 6. Legislative Determinations of Authority, Special Benefit and Fair
Apportionment. The City Council incorporates and adopts the legislative findings to the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services within the Ordinance, and makes the following additional legislative findings.
6.1 Section 403.706(1), Florida Statutes, provides that the city is responsible to collect and transport solid waste from within its boundaries to a solid waste disposal facility.
6.2 To fulfill its obligations, the City entered into a Collection Contract with Advanced Disposal Services Solid Waste Southeast, Inc., for the collection and transport of solid waste from, among others, single-family residential properties, and certain multifamily residential properties that receive Residential Solid Waste Collection services, within the City.

## SPECIAL BENEFIT

6.3 The City provides Residential Solid Waste Collection Services to the assessed properties through its Collection Contract. All assessed properties receive Residential Solid Waste Collection Services, and therefore are required to receive the City's Residential Solid Waste Collection Service through the City’s Collection Contract.
6.4 The special benefit provided to the assessed properties as a result of the provision of Residential Solid Waste Collection Services by the City through its Collection Contract, and as a result of the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessment
include by way of example and not limitation, the availability and use of Residential Solid Waste Collection Services by the owners and occupants of the assessed properties, the enhancement of environmentally responsible use and enjoyment of developed residential properties in the City, the protection of public health and safety, ensuring sanitary collection and disposal of solid waste from residential units in the City, a potential increase in value to property, and better service to landowners and tenants.
6.5 The City's provision of Residential Solid Waste Collection Services through its Collection Contract possesses-provides a logical relationship to the use and enjoyment of the assessed properties by:
6.5.1 Protecting and potentially increasing the value of the assessed properties by providing solid waste services;
6.5.2 Enhancing the environmentally responsible use of a residential land in the City;
6.5.3 Protecting the health of intended occupants in the use and enjoyment of assessed properties by ensuring the proper collection and disposal of solid waste from the assessed properties;
6.6 Commercial properties are not specially benefited by the City's Residential Solid Waste Collection Services funded by the Residential Solid Waste Collection Special Assessment in that those properties receive unique, volume-based Solid Waste Collection services. Moreover, given the fact that commercial properties receive Solid Waste Collection Services on a volume basis, it is not possible to determine the exact annual costs that can be apportioned to each property; therefore, it is not possible to
ensure that an assessment levied upon these properties can be fairly apportioned. As a result; it is fair and reasonable not to levy an assessment for the funding of residential Solid Waste Collection services upon commercial properties.

IMPOSITION AND COLLECTION
6.7 The Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessments to be imposed pursuant to this Resolution shall constitute non-ad valorem assessments within the meaning and intent of the Uniform Assessment Collection Act
6.8 The Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessment imposed pursuant to this Resolution is imposed by the City Council of the City of Greenacres, not the Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners, Property Appraiser or Tax Collector. Any activity of the Property Appraiser or Tax Collector under the provisions of this Resolution, and pursuant to the City's agreements with such entities, shall be construed as ministerial.
6.9 So long as the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment is levied, the assessed properties will no longer be billed directly by the City for the Residential Solid Waste collection Services they receive from the City through the Collection Contract.

## APPORTIONMENT

6.10 The size or the value of the Residential Property does not determine the scope of the required solid waste services. The potential demand for Residential Solid Waste Collection Services is driven by the existence of a Residential unit and the type and frequency of collection provided.
6.11 Apportioning the Residential Solid Waste Assessed Costs for Residential Solid Waste Collection Services attributable to assessed properties on a per Residential unit basis according to the type and frequency of service provided is required to avoid cost inefficiency and unnecessary administration and is a fair and reasonable method.
6.12 There are two types of residential service provided:
6.12.1 Curbside solid waste collection and recycling services - the collection of solid waste and recyclable materials from all residential dwelling units that receive individualized solid waste and recycling collections services using individual garbage cans for each residential dwelling unit; or

### 6.12.2 Containerized solid waste collection and recycling services - the

 collection of solid waste and recyclable materials from all residential dwelling units that use central or shared containers, with or without a compactor, and not by means of individual garbage cans.6.13 The frequency of solid waste collection shall be 2 times per week for all Curbside solid waste collection and recycling services, and 2 or 3 times per week, as deemed necessary and upon request by the residential property owners, for all Containerized solid waste collection and recycling services.
6.14 Unoccupied Residential units in the City may not receive residential Solid Waste Collection Services during such time as the Residential unit is unoccupied, however, the City cannot know which Residential units are unoccupied at the time the assessments are levied. Therefore, it is fair and reasonable to impose the assessment on all Residential units designated on the Solid Waste Assessment Roll and which are
expected to or actually receive Residential Solid Waste Collection Services. Unoccupied Residential units also derive some benefit from the provision of Residential Solid Waste Collection Services to neighboring Residential units, which avoids blight and health and safety issues associated with the accumulation of solid waste in the surrounding areas and neighborhood.

Section 7. Cost Apportionment Methodology. The Collection Contract provides for an annual charge to the assessed properties for the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services provided by the city through its Collection Contract. The Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessed Costs include other costs associated with the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment, which are then allocated to the assessed properties in addition to the annual charge in the Collection Contract to determine the Assessment Amount. The Assessment Amount is then multiplied by the number of Residential units on such Tax Parcel. For the Fiscal Year commencing October 1, 2018, the annual charge per residential unit for Solid Waste Collection services shall be assessed according to the following service types and frequencies:
7.1 Curbside service, Frequency 2 times per week
7.2 Containerized pickup, Frequency 2 times per week $\$ 69.70$
7.3 Containerized pickup, Frequency 3 times per week $\$ 92.20$

Section 8. Determination of Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessed Costs; Establishment of Annual Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment

## Rates.

(A) The Residential Solid Waste collection Service Assessed Costs to be assessed and apportioned among assessed properties pursuant to the cost Apportionment for the Fiscal Year commencing October 1, 2018, is the amount determined in the Residential Solid Waste Collections Services Cost Schedule, attached hereto as Exhibit B. The estimated total Solid Waste Collection Assessment for the initial year is $\$ 1,525,672$.
(B) The proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessments specified in the Assessment Rate Schedule, attached hereto as Exhibit A, are hereby established to fund the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessed Costs determined to be assessed in the Fiscal Year commencing October 1, 2018.
(C) The Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessments established in this Final Assessment Resolution shall be the assessment rates applied by the Assessment Coordinator in the preparation of the updated Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessment Roll for the Fiscal Year commencing October 1, 2018.

SECTION 9. EFFECT ON ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION. The adoption of this Final Assessment Resolution shall be the final adjudication of the issues presented (including, but not limited to, the determination of special benefit and fair apportionment to the assessed property, the method of apportionment and assessment, the rate of assessment, the Assessment Roll and the levy and lien of the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessment).

SECTION 10. FILING OF ASSESSMENT ROLL. The Assessment Roll, as defined in the Ordinance, shall be filed with the City Clerk and the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services Assessments set forth therein shall stand confirmed. All Residential

Solid Waste Collection Services Assessments shall constitute legal, valid, and binding first liens, unless otherwise provided by law, upon property against which such Assessments are made until paid.

SECTION 11. DELIVERY OF ASSESSMENT ROLL. The Assessment Roll, as adopted and approved herein, shall be certified by the Assessment Coordinator and delivered no later than September 15, 2018, to the Palm Beach County Property Appraiser.

SECTION 12. SEVERABILITY. If any clause, section or other part of this Resolution shall be held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, such unconstitutional or invalid part shall be considered as eliminated and in no way affecting the validity of the other provisions of this Resolution.

SECTION 13. CONFLICTS. That all prior Resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SECTION 14. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Final Assessment Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its passage and adoption.
$\qquad$ day of , 2018.
$\qquad$

Joel Flores
Mayor

Attest:
Joanna Cunningham
City Clerk


Paula Bousquet Deputy Mayor


John Tharp
Council Member, District I


Peter Noble
Council Member, District II


Judith Dugo
Council Member, District III


Jonathan G. Pearce
Council Member, District IV

Approved as to Form and Legal Sufficiency:

Glen J. Torcivia
City Attorney

Greenacres FY2019 Solid Waste Rates for 2018 Tax Bill
Rates and Percentage Increases FY2016, 17, 19, \& 19 (Proposed)

|  |  |  |  |  | 4\% Discount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Rates | FY2016 | FY2017 | FY2018 | FY2019 | FY2019 |
| A Curbside pickup | 95.40 | 96.84 | 98.76 | 104.40 | 100.20 |
| B Containerized pickup (dumpsters) 2x/week | 62.76 | 63.84 | 65.16 | 69.70 | 66.90 |
| C Containerized pickup (dumpsters) 3x/week | 84.00 | 85.44 | 87.12 | 92.20 | 88.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | With 4\% Discount |
|  | FY2016 | FY2017 | FY2018 | FY2019 | FY2019 |
| Annual Increases in Dollars | \$ Increase | \$ Increase | \$ Increase | \$ Increase | \$ Increase |
| A Curbside pickup | 1.20 | 1.44 | 1.92 | 5.64 | 1.44 |
| B Containerized pickup (dumpsters) 2x/week | 0.60 | 1.08 | 1.32 | 4.54 | 1.74 |
| C Containerized pickup (dumpsters) 3x/week | 0.96 | 1.44 | 1.68 | 5.08 | 1.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | After 4\% Discount |
|  | FY2016 | FY2017 | FY2018 | FY2019 | FY2019 |
| Annual Percentage Increase | \% Increase | \% Increase | \% Increase | \% Increase | \% Increase |
| A Curbside pickup | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 5.7\% | 1.4\% |
| B Containerized pickup (dumpsters) 2x/week | 1.0\% | 1.7\% | 2.1\% | 7.0\% | 2.5\% |
| C Containerized pickup (dumpsters) 3x/week | 1.2\% | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 5.8\% | 1.5\% |

Total assessment calculation:

|  | Rate Class | $\frac{\text { FY2019 }}{\frac{\text { Annual }}{}}$ | x | $\frac{\text { Residential }}{\underline{\text { Units }}}$ | = | Total Assessment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Curbside pickup | 104.40 |  | 8,579 |  |  | 895,647.60 |
|  | Containerized pickup (dumpsters) 2x/week | 69.70 |  | 6,662 |  |  | 464,341.40 |
| C | Containerized pickup (dumpsters) 3x/week | 92.20 |  | 1,797 |  |  | 165,683.40 |
| Total FY2019 Solid Waste Assessment |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,525,672.40 |
| FY2019 Calculated Solid Waste Costs |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,525,671.82 |
| Net |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.58 |

## Greenacres Cost of Residential Solid Waste Collection and Recycling Services



123 Barrington Dr.
Oakdale, PA. 15071

## City Clerk,

I object to the garbage assessment for the City of Greenacres.
We own a condo in Greenacres. We are in residence 3 months per year. We pay HOA fees which I am sure include a year's garbage fee. If this assessment goes through, we will be paying 69.70 for 3 months on our tax bill. lam fairly confident that our HOA fees will not be adjusted to reimburse us the fee they are currently paying for our unit. Therefore, if the assessment is voted in, we will be paying for 24 months of garbage collection for 3 months. This is definitely not a fair assessment.


3561 Long Pine Ct. B-1
Greenacres, Fl. 33463

## Andrew A. Pecoraro

8/15/2018<br>\section*{Dear City Council Members: RE: Non Ad Valorem Solid Waste Assessment, Greenacres}

13 Ninth Avenue, Egg Harbor Township, NJ o8234

Parcel 18424422300001580 , 6310 Tall Cypress Circle, Proposed assessment of \$104.40

In your mailing to me regarding this assessment it was stated that I had the right to appear before the Council, or file a written objection within 20 days of notification. Since I am ill, and disabled, I cannot appear to voice my objection, so I will exercise my right via this letter.

My home at 6310 Tall cypress Circle generates no waste, or garbage at all, since the home is vacant, and has been for over a year. Since the City of Greenacres incurs no costs at all with regard to waste collection at my location, I feel that there should be an exemption for un-occupied homes including mine with regard to this assessment.

It is my intention to return to my home, but I am presently not well enough to travel, and it is likely that this home will remain vacant indefinitely under my ownership.

Thank you for taking the time to review my objection, and if the Council decides that un-occupied homes should be exempt from the proposed fee, please let me know.

Warm regards,
Andrew A. Pecoraro


[^0]:    Attention All Lobbyists: Palm Beach County Code of Ordinances, Article VIII, entitled "Lobbyist Registration" requires the registration of all lobbyists prior to engaging in any lobbying activity with the City Council, any City Board or Committee, or any employee as defined in the aforementioned Palm Beach County Ordinance. Copies of the Palm Beach County Ordinance are available upon request in the City Clerk's Office.

[^1]:    Teri Lea Beiriger
    Budget Analyst/Grant Coordinator

